

Ar-Rayah Newspaper

Issue 576

(Translated from Arabic)

3 December 2025

[Editorial: Escalating Tensions Between China and Japan Page 2](#)

[Feature Article: Joining the International Coalition Against “Terrorism” is a Betrayal of Principles and Sacrifices, and A Slide of the Country Into What Its Enemies Desire Page 7](#)

[Who Will Protect Our Children in Jewish Prisons?! Page 13](#)

[The Houthis Arrest the Shabab of Hizb ut Tahrir for Exposing Trump’s Deal! Page 16](#)

[The Guinea-Bissau Coup Page 17](#)

[The US Secretary of State’s Statements Reveal the True Face of Predatory Capitalism Page 21](#)

[Hizb ut Tahrir / Australia’s 2025 Conference ‘Islam: The Change the World Desperately Needs’ Concludes with Resounding Success Page 26](#)

[Elections: Between Reality and Pretense Page 28](#)

[To the Sincere Officers of the Pakistan Army: Come and Atone for Your Failure to Support Your People in Gaza Page 33](#)

[Isn’t It Unfortunate That Our Country Has Become an Arena for International Conflict? Page 35](#)

[Pakistan’s 27th Constitutional Amendment Enshrines Dictatorship Page 37](#)

[The Struggle for Africa: Between the Present and the Future Page 41](#)

[Britain Longs for Aden and the Red Sea, Yet Is There Anyone Ready to Expel it? Page 47](#)

O soldiers, you who hold the keys to victory, you do not lack equipment or supplies, but you lack someone to aim your rifles in the right direction, and break the chains of the tyrants, not to guard them. The blood of Muslims calls out to you from Palestine, Sudan, Syria, Yemen, East Turkestan, Myanmar, Central Africa, and all the lands of the Muslims. Do not be a shield for the oppressor, but rather a sword of justice and the Khilafah (Caliphate). I wish you would answer the call and be with us in the trench of the Ummah, not in the trench of tyranny, so that we may fulfill the glad tidings of the Messenger of Allah (saw), who said, « ثُمَّ تَكُونُ خِلَافَةً عَلَىٰ مِنْهَاجِ النَّبُوَّةِ » “Then there will be a Khilafah (Caliphate) on the Model of Prophethood.”

Escalating Tensions Between China and Japan

(Translated)

By: Ustadh Asaad Mansour

Historically, the Japanese were influenced by Chinese culture, philosophy, and religion, and their relations were largely confined to China, due to its proximity and China's advanced status. However, witnessing China's defeat at the hands of the West and its fall in the Opium Wars waged by the British led them to turn westward.

Beginning in the mid-19th century, they established trade relations with the West, admiring its scientific and industrial progress and seeking to emulate it. They sent students to acquire the secrets of industry and science. They even joined the international community founded by European nations on Western Christian principles.

Japan developed a set of concepts about the universe, humanity, and life, leading to the Industrial Revolution and becoming a major power. It fought a war with China for influence over Korea, between 1894 and 1895, which China lost, forcing it to pay war reparations to Japan. From 1931 onward, Japan began attacking and occupying Chinese territory. However, after the United States launched atomic bombings at the end of World War II, Japan surrendered, thus ending its occupation of China.

Japan became aligned with America, adopting a capitalist system and focusing on its economy to become a superpower.

Meanwhile, China, having established a communist state that propelled it forward, began to concentrate on building its military strength to protect itself and to promote its ideology regionally. It began to threaten countries in the region, particularly in the East China Sea, where Japan is located, and the South China Sea, where numerous other countries are situated. This led to its involvement in the Korean, Vietnam, Laotian, and Cambodian wars against America and the West.

However, when China abandoned communism in foreign policy and economics after Mao's death in 1978, it began to focus on the economy and entered into agreements with the United States, which granted it trade priority, in an effort to encourage it to completely abandon communism, and in the hope that it would become a Western power like Japan. Consequently, its relations with Western countries and with Japan improved, and the volume of trade between them increased to hundreds of billions of dollars.

However, deep disagreements persist between them, particularly concerning Taiwan and the Senkaku Islands, which are controlled by Japan and fall under the jurisdiction of Okinawa Prefecture, where US forces are stationed. China claims the islands, calling them Diaoyu, while Taiwan also claims them, having historically been part of Taiwan. Japan has granted Taiwan fishing rights in its waters. Other points of contention include the issue of an apology for Japanese atrocities committed during their occupation of China, and the issue of US-Japanese security cooperation aimed at China.

A radical shift in Japanese policy occurred at the end of 2022, with the announcement of a constitutional amendment concerning defense policy. Japan adopted a new defense strategy

focused on building a truly capable military equipped with advanced weaponry, not only for defense but also for offense. This change alarmed China, which perceived it as directed against Japan and encouraged by the United States.

It is noteworthy that Japan's policy towards China shifted with the election of Sanae Takaichi as Prime Minister on October 21, 2025. On November 7, 2025, she stated that “any Chinese attack on Taiwan would be viewed as a threat to Japan and could lead to a military response from Tokyo.” It should be noted that Japan occupied Taiwan during the First Sino-Japanese War until the end of World War II, when it was ceded to China at the request of the United States, Britain, and the Soviet Union.

China's reactions came in two forms. On November 14, 2025, Defense Ministry spokesperson Jiang stated that China would “inflict a crushing military defeat on Japan if it uses force in Taiwan.”² On November 17, 2025, Foreign Ministry spokesperson Mao Ning announced that “Premier Li Keqiang will not meet with his Japanese counterpart during the G20 summit in South Africa on November 22, 2025.” On November 20, 2025, China declared that “Sanae Takaichi’s erroneous remarks on Taiwan have fundamentally undermined the political foundation of China-Japan relations and severely damaged bilateral economic and trade exchanges. If the Japanese side insists on continuing down this erroneous path, China will take necessary measures, and Japan will bear all the consequences.”

These measures may include halting exports of rare earth minerals to Japan, as happened when they were halted for seven weeks in 2010 when Japan detained a Chinese fisherman who collided with coast guard vessels near the Senkaku Islands. They may also reduce the number of Chinese tourists to Japan, as

happened in 2012, when they began to urge Chinese people not to travel to Japan. They may also stop importing Japanese seafood, as happened in 2023 when Japan decided to release radioactive water from the Fukushima plant into the Pacific Ocean, and so on, which would cause Japan significant economic damage until it reverses its position.

It appears that Japan was encouraged by the United States to adopt this stance. On October 28, 2025, President Trump met with the Japanese Prime Minister, and in a joint statement, they affirmed that “the two countries will take new steps toward a new golden age for their ever-growing alliance.” They also signed a framework agreement to secure supplies of rare earth elements (REEs), following China’s reduction of its exports of these elements to both countries. The agreement included provisions for Japan to purchase American liquefied natural gas. Both countries sought to pressure China and prevent it from taking any steps toward annexing Taiwan. The United States also aimed to utilize Japan in its campaign against China.

Therefore, relations between China and Japan are likely to become more strained, especially since the Japanese Prime Minister has so far refused to retract her statements regarding Japan’s readiness to intervene militarily to protect Taiwan, despite Chinese threats. Furthermore, the United States has declared its support for Japan and is working to arm Taiwan, as evidenced by its announcement on November 14, 2025, of a \$330 million sale of military equipment to Taiwan. China, through its Foreign Ministry, strongly opposed the deal, deeming it a “serious violation of the One China principle,” and asserting that “the Taiwan issue is at the core of China’s fundamental interests and constitutes an absolute red line in Sino-American relations.”

This appears to be a deliberate policy adopted by Japan against China, encouraged by the United States, which is working to encircle China with adversaries, among other actions. If this crisis is not resolved and the Japanese Prime Minister does not retract her threats against China, it will have serious repercussions for trade relations between the two countries and for the global economy as a whole, given that they are the second and third largest economies in the world. Furthermore, it will likely spur Japan's military activity, as China will be perceived as a threatening enemy justifying increased military spending and modernization.

What concerns us here as Muslims is to understand the relations between countries and what some of them plot against each other, so that we can take the correct stance according to the rulings of Sharia and employ that for the benefit of Islam and the spread of its call through the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate State) that will be established soon, inshaaAllah.

Joining the International Coalition Against “Terrorism” is a Betrayal of Principles and Sacrifices, and A Slide of the Country Into What Its Enemies Desire

(Translated)

By: Ustadh Nasser Sheikh Abdul-Hay*

Following his closed-door meeting with Syria's interim president, Ahmed al-Sharaa, at the White House on the 10th of last month, US President Trump told reporters that he was on good terms with al-Sharaa and confident that he would be able to carry out his duties successfully. The US State Department praised what it described as “remarkable progress” in the behavior of the new Syrian leadership. Meanwhile, Syrian Information Minister Hamza al-Mustafa confirmed that Syria had recently signed a declaration of political cooperation with the international coalition to defeat ISIS, and that Syria is a partner in the fight against terrorism. He added that President Trump praised Syria's transformation and expressed his support for a possible security arrangement with Israel. The US Department of War also confirmed that Syria is on its way to joining the international coalition.

US Special Envoy to Syria Tom Barrack announced that President Ahmed al-Sharaa would sign a partnership agreement during his visit, placing Syria within the US-led international coalition. Commenting on Trump's meeting with al-Sharaa, Barrack tweeted on 13 November, “I, together with Vice President J.D. Vance, Secretary Marco Rubio, Syrian Foreign Minister Asaad al-

Shaibani, Special Envoy Steve Witkoff, Defense Secretary Pete Hegseth, and White House Chief of Staff Susie Wiles, witnessed in the Oval Office President al-Sharaa's commitment to President Trump to join the D-ISIS coalition, which stands as a historic framework marking Syria's transition from a source of terrorism to a counterterrorism partner - a commitment to rebuild, to cooperate, and to contribute to the stability of an entire region. Damascus will now actively assist us in confronting and dismantling the remnants of ISIS, the IRGC, Hamas, Hizballah, and other terrorist networks, and will stand as a committed partner in the global effort to secure peace." The Deputy Spokesperson for the US State Department stated that Syria has transformed from a state that was a proxy for the Iranian regime to a state that now cooperates with us in combating terrorism.

The Middle East Institute report, quoting a source in the General Security Directorate, stated that "the recent coordination between Damascus and the coalition leadership included multiple operational agreements, most notably: the exchange of intelligence information between the reconnaissance unit in the Ministry of Interior and the operations rooms of the international coalition, which paves the way for the establishment of more institutional and sustainable security cooperation channels."

This meeting comes in conjunction with numerous media reports, including one by Reuters on November 6, citing informed sources, about America's efforts to establish a military presence at an airbase in Damascus, followed by an expected Syrian-American denial.

The current administration's disastrous involvement in the international coalition legitimizes the American presence in Syria, absolves the coalition of the crimes it has committed over the past decade, and absolves it of the horrific massacres it began in

September 2014 under the pretext of combating terrorism. It also legitimizes any future atrocities it may commit. This is a hateful, crusader alliance hiding behind false and misleading slogans to conceal its true objective: to pressure the Ummah and punish its people for their audacity and defiance of the international order. It targets the revolutionary and jihadist spirit that has taken root in the hearts of the people of Syria and the Muslim World, and their yearning for governance by Islam through a state after decades of humiliation, subjugation, and the crime of ruling by other than all that Allah ﷻ has revealed.

One of the most important principles of the Syrian revolution, which has sacrificed two million martyrs, was liberation from the grip of Western powers and the end of their influence. No sooner had Allah ﷻ blessed us with the downfall of Bashar al-Assad than the new administration began to view engagement with America and the West, and openness to them, as the sole source of security, safety, peace, progress, and economic prosperity! The media even focused on the capitalist economic aspect, disregarding the principles, rulings, and conditions of Islam, especially in economic matters and in dealings with non-Muslim countries, particularly those at war with them, foremost among them America, whose weapons drip with our blood in Iraq, Afghanistan, Somalia, and Yemen, and even in Syria, where it supported the defunct Assad regime for fourteen years with all the necessities of life to crush the Syrian revolution and return its people to the clutches of the oppressor.

Furthermore, linking the miserable economic situation to the satisfaction of the West, and the lifting of sanctions, is absurd and deceptive, in order to divert people's minds from the eternal Qur'anic truth that the cause of misery and wretchedness is the exclusion of Shariah Law of Allah ﷻ from ruling governance, life,

and the state. Allah ﷻ said in Surah Taha, ﴿فَمَنْ اتَّبَعَ هُدَايَ فَلَا يَضِلُّ وَلَا يَشْقَى * وَمَنْ أَعْرَضَ عَنْ ذِكْرِي فَإِنَّ لَهُ مَعِيشَةً ضَنْكًا وَنَحْشُرُهُ يَوْمَ الْقِيَامَةِ أَعْمَى﴾ **“Whoever follows My guidance will neither go astray nor suffer. However, whoever turns away from My remembrance will have a life of hardship, and We will raise him up on the Day of Resurrection blind.”** [TMQ Surah Taha 124]

Even worse is justifying this dangerous slide by claiming to achieve potential political, economic, and security gains under the pretext of bringing benefits and warding off harms, based on a flawed understanding of the fundamentals of our religion and the mechanism for dealing with countries in foreign policy.

It is truly astonishing that the stance towards the international coalition has shifted from a crusader alliance waging war against Islam, to an ally and partner in combating “extremism and terrorism”—that is, a war on Islam and preventing its return to power! Where are the slogans of loyalty and disavowal that the Sharia and its followers championed before coming to power? Have they not heard the words of Allah ﷻ, ﴿قَالَ عَسَىٰ رَبُّكُمْ أَنْ يُهْلِكَ عَدُوُّكُمْ وَيَسْتَخْلِفَكُمْ فِي الْأَرْضِ فَيَنْظُرَ كَيْفَ تَعْمَلُونَ﴾ **“Perhaps your Lord will destroy your enemy and make you successors in the land, so that He may see how you will act”** [TMQ Surah al-Aaraaf 129]? Or were these slogans merely a ladder to power, only to be forgotten once the inevitable happened?

Allah ﷻ says, ﴿مَا يَوَدُّ الَّذِينَ كَفَرُوا مِنْ أَهْلِ الْكِتَابِ وَلَا الْمُشْرِكِينَ أَنْ يُنَزَّلَ عَلَيْكُمْ مِنْ خَيْرٍ مِنْ رَبِّكُمْ﴾ **“Neither those who disbelieve among the People of the Book nor the mushrikoon wish that any good should be sent down to you from your Lord...”** [TMQ Surah al-Baqarah 105]. So, should we believe America, the head of disbelief and its spearhead in the war against Islam, or should we believe the word of the One, the All-Powerful, whose support

brought us to Damascus victorious? And what about Allah ﷻ saying, ﴿وَلَنْ تَرْضَىٰ عَنْكَ الْيَهُودُ وَلَا النَّصَارَىٰ حَتَّىٰ تَتَّبِعَ مِلَّتَهُمْ قُلْ إِنَّ هُدَى اللَّهِ هُوَ الْهُدَىٰ وَلَئِنَّ آتِّبَعْتُ أَهْوَاءَهُمْ بَعْدَ الَّذِي جَاءَكَ مِنَ الْعِلْمِ مَا لَكَ مِنَ اللَّهِ مِنْ وَلِيٍّ وَلَا نَصِيرٍ﴾ **“Never will the Jews or the Christians be satisfied with you unless you follow their religion. Say, ‘Indeed, the guidance of Allah is the only guidance.’ And if you were to follow their desires after what has come to you of knowledge, you would have against Allah no protector or helper.”** [TMQ Surah al-Baqarah 120]

The entire Western world has linked the lifting of sanctions on Syria to clear and blatant conditions, foremost among them the new administration’s cooperation in the fight against Islam, and this has become clear to everyone.

The declaration of explicit engagement with America in this hateful crusader alliance is a dangerous trap and a terrible evil whose ill effects will not only affect the current administration, but will also affect everyone who approves of it, or remains silent about it, and ignores its effects and consequences, the most obvious of which is the persecution of everyone who carries the banner of Islam as a way of life, whether from the people of the country or those who came to support them from the sons of the nation of Islam. The organization of the state is nothing but a pretext to mislead public opinion to be satisfied with joining this alliance, in addition to what this engagement will cause in terms of political and economic dependence and the imposition of political plans that affect domestic matters such as restructuring the security services, according to the whims of America, which wants these services to be its tools and its internal eyes to use to serve its plans as it pleases.

Today in Syria we are at a crossroads. Either we return to our Deen, the guidance of our Lord, and the path of our Prophet ﷺ and declare our complete disavowal of everything that contradicts our Shariah, or we chase after the mirage of America's approval, only to fall into the Wrath of Allah ﷻ and let the great sacrifices and pure blood that watered the soil of Syria go to waste. Which of the two paths should the people of Syria choose?

It is incumbent upon the sons of the revolution of Ash-Sham and the people of sacrifice to remain true to their pledge by declaring their adherence to the principles of their Deen and their revolution, foremost among which is the implementation of Islam under the Islamic state, in order to fulfill the glad tidings of the Prophet ﷺ and his saying, «أَلَا إِنَّ عُقْرَ دَارِ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ الشَّامُ» **“Indeed, the heart of the abode of the believers is ash-Sham,”** before we all regret it at a time, when crying or wailing will be of no use.

*** Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in the State of Syria**

Who Will Protect Our Children in Jewish Prisons?!

(Translated)

Palestinian institutions concerned with prisoners' affairs, including the Prisoners' Club, said that more than 1630 children from the West Bank, including al-Quds, and dozens from the Gaza Strip have been arrested by the occupation since the beginning of the war on Gaza. They pointed to the martyrdom of a child inside Megiddo Prison after being subjected to hunger, deprivation, and abuse. 350 of them are still in the occupation's prisons, including two girls, in harsh conditions that completely contradict all international standards for the protection of minors. They face crimes of torture, starvation, and medical neglect, as well as sexual assaults, in addition to collective isolation and deprivation of familial visits.

A press release issued by the Women's Section of the Central Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir stated: Over the past decades, the usurping Jewish entity has carried out physical and psychological destruction against child prisoners through a set of systematic policies that contradict human values. Children have always been the most vulnerable group to its violations, whether through killing and injury, deprivation of education, or arrest, which has targeted tens of thousands of them, whether during raids, confrontations, at checkpoints and streets, or from schools. Children in Gaza were frequently used as human shields during its military operations.

The press release added: The interrogation phase is considered the most brutal stage for children inside the occupation's prisons; it aims to terrify them, break their will, and

extract confessions from them. They are interrogated for long hours in closed rooms without the presence of their parents or a lawyer. They are deprived of sleep and rest, and subjected to continuous pressures that leave deep effects on them and their future. Administrative detention is considered one of the most repressive tools used by the occupation against the people of Palestine, especially children, without filing clear charges or presenting them to real trials, under the pretext of the “secret file,” which the child and his lawyer are denied access to.

The press release continued: Inside the prisons, children live under harsh conditions that lack the minimum humanitarian standards; they are subjected to beatings, electric shocks, deprivation of sleep inside what is known as the “disco room” (loud music), continuous shackling, dog attacks, verbal abuse, isolation, sexual harassment, and collective punishments. In addition, there is starvation, lack of hygiene, overcrowding and spread of insects, detention in poorly ventilated and unlit rooms, as well as medical neglect and lack of healthcare, which has led to the spread of diseases, shortage of clothing, deprivation of family visits, and detention with Jewish criminal children in a policy of systematic psychological and physical destruction.

On the other hand, data from the National Campaign to Retrieve the Bodies of Martyrs indicated a dangerous escalation in deliberate killing crimes against children alongside the continued policy of withholding bodies. Since the beginning of this month of November, the occupation has killed six children in cold blood and withheld their bodies, raising the number of child martyrs whose bodies are still withheld to 73 out of 752 martyrs whose bodies the campaign documents as still being held in the occupation’s refrigerators and cemeteries of numbers.

The press release concluded: These statistics were published by prisoner institutions on the occasion of what is called “World Children’s Day,” in which the world celebrates the achievements of children in all areas of life, their development, and natural growth, while the children of Palestine face a repressive machine that targets them, violates their rights and dignity, kills them, arrests them, and tries them before military courts in which the simplest laws are violated, imposing harsh punishments far from what they claim about children’s and human rights.

The Houthis Arrest the Shabab of Hizb ut Tahrir for Exposing Trump's Deal!

On Friday, 21/11/2025, the Houthi group in Ibb Governorate arrested two members of Hizb ut Tahrir, the brothers: Osama Muhammad Mas'ad Al-Wurafi (19 years old) and Ibrahim Muhammad Mas'ad Al-Wurafi (15 years old) following their distribution of a leaflet issued by Hizb ut Tahrir entitled: **"Trump Leads his Followers from the Rulers in the Muslim Lands to a Disgraceful and Shameful Deal, Lowering their Heads Behind Him by Placing Gaza Hashem under Guardianship and Colonialism!"** This leaflet was distributed in Yemen and most Muslim countries.

How astonishing! The Houthis raise the slogan "Death to America" while they arrest the members of Hizb ut Tahrir who work day and night to uproot America and its capitalist system!!

We affirm that the Shabab of Hizb ut Tahrir do not fear such repressive actions, whether in Yemen or other Muslim countries where they face arrest at the hands of the regimes there. These practices have not deterred them from continuing their Dawah, and the Hizb will not hesitate in its path, following the footsteps of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ to establish the Second Khilafah Rashidah on the Method of Prophethood, soon by the permission of Allah ﷻ.

The Guinea-Bissau Coup

(Translated)

By: Ustadh Ahmed Al-Khatwani

A military coup took place in Guinea-Bissau on November 26, 2025, and the country's president, Umaro Sissoco Embaló, the chief of staff of the armed forces, General Biag Nantan, his deputy, General Mamadou Touré, and the interior minister, Botche Candé, were arrested.

This coup came just three days after general elections were held in Guinea-Bissau on November 23, 2025, in which both the president and opposition candidate Fernando Dias da Costa declared victory in the presidential election.

This is the ninth coup in Guinea-Bissau since it gained independence from Portugal in 1974. The coup was carried out by military officers led by the army spokesman and head of the military family in the presidential palace, General Denis Nakana. They announced that they had taken full control of the country, and ordered the suspension of all political institutions, media outlets, the electoral process, the closure of international borders, and an indefinite night curfew. The officers announced the formation of the "Supreme Military Command for the Restoration of Order," composed of all branches of the armed forces, which would lead the country until further notice.

Coup leader Denis Nkana said the coup was carried out in response to "the discovery of an ongoing plot orchestrated by politicians and other local and foreign figures, along with a known drug trafficker, with the aim of destabilizing the country

by attempting to manipulate the election results,” but he did not provide any evidence to support his claims.

The Popular Front – an alliance of civil society organizations in Guinea-Bissau – accused Embaló and the military of staging a “sham coup,” to prevent the publication of the election results and to remain in power, until Embaló could name a new president and prime minister, to hold new elections in which he could run again for president.

Guinea-Bissau opposition leader Fernando Dias, who confirmed his victory in the presidential elections, accused President Embaló of what the Popular Front had accused him of: that this coup was orchestrated by the president and the army to prevent Dias from coming to power.

Election observers from the Economic Community of West African States and the African Union said in a statement that they “condemn this blatant attempt to disrupt the democratic process” and called for “the necessary steps to be taken to restore constitutional order.”

For its part, the Portuguese government, the former colonial power in Guinea-Bissau, urged “all parties concerned to refrain from any institutional or civil violence and to restore the normal functioning of institutions to allow for the completion of the vote count and the announcement of the election results”.

“The surprising and unusual thing is that the outgoing president, Umaro Sissoko Embaló, was the one who contacted the French media and revealed to Jeune Afrique magazine that he had been arrested inside his office at the presidential palace around midday,” says Idris Ayat of the Department of Political Science at Kuwait University. He added, “Embaló has recently

gained a bad reputation in the African continent for his disturbing closeness to France and its president, Macron, to the point that some people call him by his famous phrase, 'Macron is my brother,' as a sign that he is one of the leaders of France's policies in Africa to maintain French influence on the continent, at a time when Africa is witnessing aversion to France and its neo-colonial policies; or as France itself calls it, a growing anti-French sentiment."

The words of this Kuwaiti writer suggest that the coup was fabricated, orchestrated by France to maintain its influence in Guinea-Bissau through loyal military officers, including the president himself, because the coup occurred one day before the election results were announced, and the results were not in favor of the army and President Embaló, who represents the army. This is also confirmed by what the civilian opposition, led by an alliance of civilian and military forces under the name "Popular Front," stated, that President Embaló and the army organized a "sham coup" to keep power in the hands of the military. It is also confirmed by the statement of the opposition leader, Fernando Dias, that President Embaló agreed with the army to orchestrate the coup to prevent him from coming to power.

It appears that the army fears losing power if a civilian candidate wins the elections, so it fabricated this coup to thwart the civilian opposition's rise to power.

The army has a long history of coups that have prevented the opposition from coming to power, the latest of which was President Embaló's own coup against legitimacy when he carried out what was considered a constitutional coup in December

2023, when he dissolved the elected parliament headed by his former rival Domingos Pereira.

Thus, most evidence points to the fact that the coup was orchestrated by France, to maintain its influence in Guinea-Bissau, and to thwart change through elections that would have likely been won by civilian rulers, who hate France and cooperate with all Western powers against it, especially America and Britain, which rejected the coup. Observers from the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) and the African Union said in a statement that they “condemn this blatant attempt to disrupt the democratic process” and called for “the necessary steps to be taken to restore constitutional order.” Portugal, the former colonialist power of Guinea-Bissau, also urged all parties involved to refrain from any institutional or civil violence and to restore the regular functioning of institutions to allow the completion of the vote count and the announcement of the election results.

Thus, the international conflict appears evident in this small country, with a population of no more than 2.2 million, through the use of traditional colonialist tools, which in this country are a small army controlled by France, and civilian forces supported by America, Britain and Portugal. These tools are often used to gain power by using this army, or by using parliamentary elections, and because the army is still dominant and in control of power, this means that French influence is still dominant in the country.

The US Secretary of State's Statements Reveal the True Face of Predatory Capitalism

(Translated)

By: Ustadh Yaqoub Ibrahim – Wilayah Sudan

In remarks made by the US Secretary of State at a press conference held at Hamilton Airport in Canada, on the sidelines of the G7 summit, Marco Rubio, when asked about the situation in Sudan, said, “troubling... what’s happening there is horrifying.” This troubling situation, created by American agents within the army and the Rapid Support Forces, is due to the senseless and cursed war—a stage America desires to reach. The American plan is nearly complete, especially after the Rapid Support Forces seized control of El Fasher and negotiations began in Washington between the Quad and the two delegations. Thus, America is clearly and unequivocally proceeding with its plan to dismember the Darfur region at an accelerated pace.

Rubio said confirmed that the US held three meetings with the entire Quad, and that the US is sponsoring this Quad initiative with Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and the UAE. Rubio reveals that America is sponsoring the negotiation process and will not allow any country to be a partner in this Quad without its permission. There was what was called the Jeddah Platform Quad, formed by America and its agent Saudi Arabia, and Britain and its agent the UAE. They had issued a statement on the fifth day of the war, which said, “The Sudanese military leaders must enter into dialogue without delay.” However, America was working to prolong the war, so it was able to exclude Britain and its agents,

both civilian and military, from the political process and from playing a role in managing the conflict and related matters. It alone took control of the Sudan file. In a report by the Washington Institute for Near East Policy dated July 31, 2025, entitled, “Washington’s Difficult Mission to Unify Sudan Diplomacy Just Got Harder,” Massad Boulos indicated that “Parallel communications are taking place behind the scenes—with SAF and RSF leaders as well as other Sudanese military and civilian figures—in an attempt to bridge the gap.” According to the report, Boulos said, “Sudan will be a priority in the coming phase.” He then brazenly threatened that if either side of the conflict rejects the Quad’s plan, America will not hesitate to take the necessary measures.

This is in relation to the Quad invented by America, in order to monopolize the solution, its timing and outcomes, and even to seize the entire scene and direct it as it wants. The Quad, as some have said, is nothing but a vault that America used to imprison the Sudanese conflict, store it and keep it under its care, until the time comes! This Quad may seek help from some countries. In Boulos’s interview with Asharq Al-Awsat newspaper on 28 October 2025, he said, “There are other countries and institutions that support this peaceful path and are ready to help, including the European Union and many European countries, the United Kingdom, Turkey, Qatar and a large number of countries, especially neighboring countries and others, and we are grateful to them,” in order to avoid European interference and to give an international character to the negotiations.

At the same press conference, Rubio was asked about his assessment of the UAE’s role in this war, and he said, “We know who the parties are that are involved [in weapons supply]...

That's why they're part of the Quad along with other countries involved." While the whole world witnessed the UAE's involvement and its role in this dirty war, America does not care about being in the Quad. As for why the UAE is part of the Quad, despite its rulers' subservience to Britain, it has not stopped providing support to America's separatist agent, Hemedti, hoping to have influence over him, which is similar to what it is doing in Libya by supporting America's agent Haftar.

According to an AFP-UAE 71 report dated November 14, 2025, a report by US-based watchdog The Sentry, entitled "Inside Job: Libya's Fuel Smuggling Escalation" confirmed that "Since the start of Sudan's civil war, the Haftar camp has been a key fuel supplier to the RSF. This persistent flow of diesel and gasoline has enabled the RSF's mobility in Darfur and, therefore, its tactical operations there. By supplying fuel and other aid to the RSF amid the Sudanese war, the Haftar family has tightened its command structure and strategic control in southeastern Libya. The transfer of fuel to the RSF reflects the Haftars' deep loyalty to the Emirati government, a crucial backer of the paramilitary force. Because of the UAE's extensive ideological, diplomatic, financial, and political support for the Haftar family since 2014, the Emirati government occupies a privileged position in the eyes of Haftar's forces, leaving them beholden to Abu Dhabi." According to the same source, dated November 13, 2025, Sudanese journalist Ismail Hassan stated that the head of the Transitional Sovereignty Council, Abdel Fattah al-Burhan, secretly met with an Emirati minister and handed him evidence regarding Abu Dhabi's involvement in the Sudanese war. He indicated that he told the Americans, "Arrange a meeting between us and Abu Dhabi leaders... and indeed, the Emirati Minister of State, Shakhbout bin Nahyan Al Nahyan, came, and in the presence of the

Americans, we presented them with 21 separate files containing all the information confirming the UAE's role in the war. Shakhbout was unable to respond or deny it and left the meeting in silence." Al-Burhan continued, "We told the Americans, why don't you tell the UAE: No to war?! The rebellion's entry into El Fasher and the Sudanese people's reaction to El Fasher has doubled the responsibility on our shoulders."

The Americans know, and are even monitoring, the sources that supply their men in their dirty, futile war in Sudan. According to the US Secretary of State in his press conference, he said, "I think something needs to be done to cut off the weapons and support that the RSF is getting as they continue with their advances. They're clearly receiving assistance from outside. And, clearly, that assistance isn't just coming from some country that is paying for it. It is also coming from countries that are allowing their territory to be used to ship it and transport it." He added, "I can just tell you, at the highest levels of our government, that case is being made and that pressure is being applied to the relevant parties," and "I don't want to get into calling anybody out at a press conference today, because what we want is a good outcome here..."

America colluded with these countries, as the US Secretary of State pointed out, and remained silent until the staged war, which ultimately led to the secession of Darfur, was complete. Even worse, the atrocities committed in Darfur, and previously in other Sudanese cities, occurred in full view of America. The US Secretary of State said, "The RSF agreed to a humanitarian ceasefire, but they don't intend to comply with it and when you raise the issue of these atrocities, they always hide behind the

argument that this is rogue elements... Well, it's not rogue elements. They are doing it systematically."

However, what confirms the pragmatism of American foreign policy, especially after American politicians tasted the bitterness of colonialism in the Gulf oil, is that the factor of utilitarianism and colonialism prevailed, so its foreign policy became the policy of the rich and the owners of monopolistic companies in it, that is, it is a purely colonialist policy, in which there is no room for lofty values, and utilitarianism alone controls the behavior of politicians. What confirms this is the frankness of Secretary Rubio in his answer to the question: Will you support the efforts of the Senate... from both parties, to designate the Rapid Support Forces as a foreign terrorist organization or a foreign terrorist group? His answers reflected a mentality that knows no ethics in politics except utility, as he said, "Yes, I mean, if it is helpful in ending this matter, we will do it," and his saying, "I don't want to get into calling anybody out at a press conference today, because what we want is a good outcome here."

Herein lies the true face of American policy in dealing with issues according to the benefit gained. Morality has no weight in their view of peoples and nations. Despite describing the Rapid Support Forces as, "They're committing acts of sexual violence and atrocities, just horrifying atrocities, against women, children, innocent civilians of the most horrific kind." they are not terrorists as long as they are implementing the map of American nationalistic borders of bloodshed!

Ultimately, only the Islamic state will force America back into isolation once again; it will bring people out of the oppression of capitalism into the light of Islam.

Hizb ut Tahrir / Australia's 2025 Conference 'Islam: The Change the World Desperately Needs' Concludes with Resounding Success

(Translated)

The conference concluded with great and remarkable success, Alhamdulillah, in a wonderful atmosphere of sincere reflection and genuine concern about the state of humanity and its future direction. The conference was filled with impassioned speeches and invigorated audiences.

Audiences were treated to four profound speeches, each reflecting upon systemic problems faced today and Islam's unique response to it. It included a heart trembling video message from the heart of devastated Gaza.

The first speech was to understand the historical, political and ideological roots of modern-day injustices. Key reflections included the decentering of God during European enlightenment, the elevation of Machiavellian politics where the ends come to justify the means, the emergence of colonialism, the formation of modern statehood and widespread economic exploitation.

The second speech tackled the economic injustices in the world, highlighting three main sources of economic exploitation including the immersion of business with politics, the fiat currency system of worthless paper money and the exploitation of riba (usury) and its entanglement in every facet of the modern economic cycle.

The third speech addressed the core of everybody's concerns – the decay of modern life and its impact upon the individual, family and community. It was a sincere plea to reflect upon the implications of a world that substitutes the worship of Allah ﷻ for the worship of the self.

The fourth speech addressed the issue of the Khilafah (Caliphate) and what its emergence would mean for the world today.

Despite the hysteria leading up to the conference, pushed by politicians, media and even intelligence chiefs, the community proudly came together to ensure the beautiful message of Islam will never be drowned out by the noise of war mongers and genocidal advocates. A testament to the deep-seated love of Islam felt by Muslims everywhere and the sincere desire to allow others the opportunity to see its greatness too.

Elections: Between Reality and Pretense

By: Ustadh Mahmoud El-Leithy*

In every election cycle, voices from within what are called official religious institutions in Egypt reappear, promoting the idea of national duty, integrity, and accountability before Allah” at the ballot box, as if the electoral process were a Shariah platform and a genuine conduit for change. This discourse, which is repeated every year and in different forms with each election, contradicts both religious truth and the existing political reality in a country governed by secular constitutions and systems that do not rule by Islam, nor do they permit the establishment of Islamic rule in the first place.

Elections in Egypt are not a genuine political exercise, but rather a mere facade managed by the state’s security apparatus, media, and bureaucracy. The candidates are predetermined, the outcome is a foregone conclusion, competition is nonexistent, and the entire process serves as a stamp of approval, both domestically and internationally, for a political reality that has remained unchanged for decades. Furthermore, the public participation touted by the media and the authorities is not evidence of integrity, but rather of the state’s ability to mobilize through coercion, economic blackmail, and the manipulation of manufactured religious institutions.

Therefore, saying that “elections are an embodiment of the will of the people” is contrary to the truth, because the true will of the people cannot be created in the absence of political freedom, the presence of security agencies that persecute any real change project, the criminalization of independent political action, and the prevention of any serious competitor from emerging or organizing.

Voting is promoted as a “testimony, a trust, and a religious duty.” This is a grave error, as the concept of “national duty” is not a Shariah obligation. Shariah obligations are imposed by divine revelation, not by secular systems or ministries of religious endowments. There is no such thing as “national duty” in Islamic law.

Furthermore, elections under secular systems are not sanctioned by Islamic law because they are based on man-made constitutions and the principle of democracy, which grants sovereignty to the people, not to Islamic law. Allah ﷻ says, **﴿فَلَا وَرَبِّكَ لَا يُؤْمِنُونَ حَتَّىٰ يُحَكِّمُوكَ فِيمَا شَجَرَ بَيْنَهُمْ﴾** **“But no, by your Lord, they will not [truly] believe until they make you, O Muhammad], judge between them concerning that over which they dispute.”** [TMQ Surah an-Nisaa 65] These constitutions negate the very foundation of belief in Islamic Shariah Law.

Participating in democratic elections is an endorsement of the system, and endorsing what is wrong is forbidden by Islamic law. So, what if what is wrong is the foundation of the political system that disables the Shariah Law of Allah ﷻ?

A Muslim is not held accountable before Allah ﷻ for abstaining from secular elections, and what angers Allah ﷻ cannot be a Shariah obligation, nor can what the secular system enshrines be a Shariah trust.

Islam does not reject elections as a mechanism, but Islam rejects the secular framework within which the current elections are conducted. Elections are a Shariah style when one condition is met, that their foundation be Islamic.

The Prophet ﷺ clearly practiced this at the Second Pledge of Aqabah, when he said to the Ansar, **أُخْرِجُوا لِي مِنْ بَيْنِكُمْ اثْنِي عَشَرَ نَقِيبًا** **“Select twelve leaders (naqibs) from among you.”** The

Companions (ra) also practiced it at the Pledge of Abu Bakr (ra) at Saqifah, and then again at the Pledge of Uthman (ra). It was a free selection based on Islam. Therefore, the problem is not with the election itself, but with the principle upon which it is based. If Islam is its foundation, then it is legitimate in Shariah. However if democracy is the foundation of elections, then it is forbidden, because democracy is a man-made system that makes man a legislator instead of Allah ﷻ, and this contradicts a fundamental principle of Iman.

There is a political truth, borne out by reality and experience: no state changes its system through the ballot box. There is a deep state that guarantees the continuation of the regime regardless of the people's wishes. And there are the regime's security apparatuses, which control every detail of the political landscape. This is in addition to the secular constitutions themselves, pre-designed to solidify the regime, and prevent any genuine Islamic reform project from emerging. Therefore, any attempt to change the system through elections is doomed from the outset to be either prevented or eliminated. Elections are part of the system. They cannot be a means of dismantling the system. A tool created by the authorities cannot be a means of overthrowing them.

The Shariah method of change is a comprehensive revolutionary change, not a patching up of the democratic system. The Islamic methodology to change is clear in the Seerah of the Prophet ﷺ; it begins with culturing the Ummah about the culture of Islam and entrusting it with the project of its state, which it implements, passing through interaction and what it includes of intellectual conflict and political struggle with existing systems, in conjunction with seeking the support of the people of

power and strength to establish the state, as the Prophet ﷺ did, until the Ansar responded to him.

This Shariah method is based on uprooting the system entirely, not reforming it, patching it up, or joining its institutions.

Therefore, the call to participate in secular elections is not from Islam, nor is it the methodology prescribed by the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ.

What did Islamic Shariah Law forbid regarding participation in elections in secular regimes?

1. Elections in secular regimes legitimize man-made constitutions, and Islamic Shariah Law categorically rejects such constitutions.

2. Secular elections enshrine an unlawful political system that grants legislation to humans, not to Allah ﷻ.

3. Secular elections obstruct genuine change and transforms the Ummah into a public that is summoned when needed to embellish the image of the regime.

4. Secular elections mislead people in the name of Deen when they are told, “You will be held accountable before Allah ﷻ for your vote.”

Testimony can only be given regarding truth. Making voting in a secular system a form of testimony conflates Shariah concepts with deviant political practices.

Trustworthiness lies in what Allah ﷻ has commanded. So how can participating in a legislative system that contradicts the legislation of Allah ﷻ be considered trustworthiness?! Allah ﷻ says, ﴿وَمَنْ لَّمْ يَحْكَمْ بِمَا أَنزَلَ اللَّهُ فَأُولَٰئِكَ هُمُ الْكَافِرُونَ﴾ “And whoever does not judge by what Allah ﷻ has revealed - then it is those

who are the disbelievers.” So, can this system be granted legitimacy in the name of testimony?!

Statements that describe elections in a secular system as a “Shariah obligation, testimony, or trust” are not based on legitimate evidence, nor on a correct understanding of reality. Instead, they are part of an official campaign aimed at mobilizing people to legitimize the regime and its empty political process.

Elections are a permissible mechanism under Islamic law if based on Islam, but forbidden if based on secular democracy. Participating in elections in Egypt today is tantamount to endorsing a regime that does not rule according to the Shariah Law of Allah ﷻ. A Muslim is not held accountable for abstaining from voting in a secular system because it is not a Shariah obligation. True change will not come through these ballot boxes, but instead through a Shariah political action that uproots the regime and establishes a government based on the Noble Quran and Prophetic Sunnah, restoring the Ummah’s authority, the Shariah Law’s rightful place, and Islam’s Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) on the Method of Prophethood. This is the path, and this is the truth that cannot be concealed, altered, or disguised with baseless religious slogans or phrases. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿فَلَا وَرَبِّكَ لَا يُؤْمِنُونَ حَتَّىٰ يُحَكِّمُوكَ فِيمَا شَجَرَ بَيْنَهُمْ ثُمَّ لَا يَجِدُوا فِي أَنفُسِهِمْ حَرَجًا مِّمَّا قَضَيْتَ وَيُسَلِّمُوا تَسْلِيمًا﴾ **“But no, by your Lord, they will not truly believe until they make you, O Muhammad, judge concerning that over which they dispute among themselves and then find within themselves no discomfort from what you have decided and submit in full submission.”** [TMQ Surah an-Nisaa 4:65]

*** Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Egypt**

To the Sincere Officers of the Pakistan Army: Come and Atone for Your Failure to Support Your People in Gaza

O Sincere Officers in Pakistan Army! The treason of these agents has reached the skies, a matter no sane mind or heart of sound valor can bear. The betrayal of your military commanders, who did not refuse America any conspiracy, whether it is in Afghanistan under the guise of the “War on Terror,” or the surrender of Kashmir, or rapprochement with the enemy of Islam and Muslims, the Hindus, now sees them obeying Trump’s command in conspiring against the Blessed Land of Palestine and its people. When will the spirit of the mujahid, who accepts no violation of the Deen of Allah ﷻ, rise within you? How can the mujahid accept the likes of a munafiq, Ubdullah ibn Ubay ibn Salool as his commanders?!

We, in Hizb ut Tahrir/ Wilayah Pakistan, call for your military support (nussrah) for Islam and Muslims, not your protection of Jews under a leadership that is treacherous to Allah ﷻ, His Messenger ﷺ, and the believers. We call for your military support (nussrah) in establishing the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) in Pakistan, the Pure Land, to lead armies in liberation (tahrir) of the Blessed Land of Palestine and Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa, not to march to kill Muslims there, and empower the Jewish entity, as your commanders strive for, which is the gravest matter that invokes the anger of Allah ﷻ.

Your silence regarding your treacherous leaders over the past decades, and especially in the last two years, regarding their abandonment of the Palestinian people, requires sincere

repentance followed by action commensurate with the magnitude of your betrayal and desertion on the day of battle, which you committed by failing to support our people in Gaza. So come to stand with Islam and Muslims by giving your military support (nussrah) to Hizb ut Tahrir now, a deed that pleases Allah ﷻ and atones for your negligence in supporting your people in the Blessed Land of Palestine.

Isn't It Unfortunate That Our Country Has Become an Arena for International Conflict?

(Translated)

The ongoing conflict in Sudan is one of the episodes of the Western colonial conflict, between the old colonial disbeliever, Britain along with Europe on one side, and the new colonialist, America, on the other side, each with its local, regional, and international tools. The United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, Volker Turk, referred to this conflict, where he said in the mentioned Council session: "Sudan is under the weight of a proxy conflict over its natural resources and goods, which includes many states inside the region and outside it."

It is truly unfortunate that our country has become an arena for international conflict over influence between America and Europe, especially Britain. They trade with the blood of our people and their honour. They are one nation in enmity, not wanting good for Muslims in any place or time. Allah Almighty said, ﴿يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا لَا تَتَّخِذُوا بِطَانَةَ مَنْ دُونِكُمْ لَا يَأْلُونَكُمْ خَبَالًا وَدُؤَا مَا عَنِتُّمْ قَدْ بَدَتِ الْبَغْضَاءُ مِنْ أَفْوَاهِهِمْ وَمَا تُخْفِي صُدُورُهُمْ أَكْبَرُ قَدْ بَيَّنَّا لَكُمُ الْآيَاتِ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ تَعْقِلُونَ﴾ **"O you who have believed, do not take as intimates those other than yourselves, for they will not spare you [any] ruin. They wish you would have hardship. Hatred has already appeared from their mouths, and what their breasts conceal is greater. We have certainly made clear to you the verses, if you should use reason."** [Surat Aal-i-Imran: 190]. The colonial disbelievers (kuffar) do not care about the killing of Muslims, nor do they care about violating their honour.

Muslims have lost the guardian, so the capitalist wolves violated their sanctities, and nothing will deliver us from this tragedy except giving the support (nusrah) to Hizb ut Tahrir to establish the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) upon the Method of Prophethood, which will cut off the disbelievers from our lands, prevent their interference in our affairs, and stop their trading with our blood and honour.

Pakistan's 27th Constitutional Amendment Enshrines Dictatorship

By: Ustadh Bilal Al-Muhajir – Wilayah Pakistan

Despite the American-led global secular order's reverence for the concept of the rotation of power, a serious issue has forced America to abandon this sanctity and operate differently. It has preferred to keep its agent dictators in power due to the scarcity of such formidable agents. America is no longer able to cultivate and prepare new agents to succeed their predecessors, given the rapid pace of events and the rising awareness among populations, particularly in the Muslim World, which has become extremely difficult to control and manipulate.

It took America more than a decade to pave the way for the Damascus regime's opportunists, whom they had implanted within the Syrian revolution, and they were only able to replace Bashar al-Assad with them after fourteen years of the uprising. Similarly, America understood that it could not replace Ankara's opportunists with their counterparts, so the Turkish constitution was amended from a parliamentary to a presidential system. This granted the president broad powers, including the possibility of running for consecutive terms, and abolished the office of prime minister, making the president the most powerful figure in the executive branch.

Within this context, Pakistan's 27th constitutional amendment comes at a critical juncture, as the Trump administration has revitalized Asim Munir's role in advancing American geopolitical interests in the Middle East and South Asia. The speed with which this amendment was passed, and its timing, indicate that Pakistan's security and governance

apparatus operates according to external timetables, dictated by American interests. This amendment represents an unprecedented step, restructuring the country's judiciary and military in a way that consolidates American influence, prevents any opposition from challenging it, and effectively eliminates the supposed independence of state institutions.

Among several amendments, two stand out as the most significant: the reorganization of the supreme military command and reforms to the judiciary. In the judiciary, the amendment includes the creation of a new constitutional court called the "Federal Constitutional Court (FCC)," which will be the sole body authorized to hear constitutional cases. This effectively eliminates the role of the Supreme Court, reducing its status to that of a mere high court, whose decisions are no longer binding on the Federal Constitutional Court. Meanwhile, Federal Constitutional Court decisions become binding on all courts, including the Supreme Court, significantly diminishing the Supreme Court's power and limiting its role. Furthermore, the amendment grants the army chief, and the head of state, lifetime immunity from prosecution.

To appoint judges loyal to the military, the amendment strengthens the executive branch's role in appointing and transferring judges, replacing the independent judicial commission that previously performed these tasks. This threatens the independence of the judiciary and makes it more subservient to the pro-American executive branch. These reforms are not aimed at achieving genuine or swift justice for the people of Pakistan, but rather at manipulating the judiciary to serve the interests of America's agents and allies within the country, and to

consolidate the executive branch, which faces no challenges from a higher judiciary, historically linked to British interests.

At the highest military command level, the main reform involves creating the position of Chief of Defence Forces (CDF), who oversees the Army, Air Force, and Navy. This position replaces the abolished Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. The Chief of Defence Forces (CDF) will have the authority to appoint the heads of the various branches of the armed forces and the strategic nuclear forces, effectively placing these forces under the control of the Chief of Army Staff, who is himself subject to American influence. The amendment ensures that the Chief of Army Staff will always hold this position, preventing any officer from the Air Force, Navy, or strategic nuclear forces—branches where many are loyal to Islam and support the return of Islamic governance—from attaining it.

Under this amendment, General Asim Munir, considered one of the most prominent pro-American officers, was appointed as the commander of the defense forces for a five-year term, with the possibility of extension. A new position for the commander of the National Strategic Command (NSC) was also created to replace the previous structure that ran Pakistan's nuclear weapons under the National Command Authority (NCA), thus centrally integrating the three strategic nuclear forces under General Munir's command, concentrating control in his hands.

The implications of this amendment are clear: it consolidates American influence through General Munir's personal control over the various branches of the armed forces that were previously relatively independent, and it concentrates command and control in one hand. Although the ruling regime justifies these reforms as creating synergy and unity in the military

leadership, the unilateral nature of the Chief of Defence Forces position and the elimination of the rotation system reveal the true objective: total American control over Pakistan's security apparatus.

The reshuffle has broader objectives related to advancing American interests in the region, particularly in light of the Palestinian issue, which is central to the conflict in Muslim countries. The United States faces resistance within the Pakistan's armed forces against deploying troops to protect Jewish interests in Gaza as part of the American peace plan. Therefore, the US administration is counting on strengthening General Munir's control to quell this resistance and address internal demands.

These amendments represent a dangerous turning point in Pakistan's constitutional and political history. They strengthen the military's influence and diminish the judiciary's power, paving the way for increased military intervention, control over key government positions, and a reduction in the role of civilian institutions. Therefore, it can be argued that Pakistan's 27th constitutional amendment is not merely an administrative or judicial change, but a profound geopolitical shift that undermines state institutions, and consolidates American influence over the country's security and political affairs.

The Struggle for Africa: Between the Present and the Future

(Translated)

By: Ustadh Nabil Abdel Karim

Recently, we have observed high-level and multiple tensions; civil wars, military coups, famines, and migration...

Will Africa become an arena for international power struggles and conflicts, especially after America succeeded in expelling most other colonialist powers from the Middle East, seizing control of its key regions, and beginning to implement its plans? Today, it is fragmenting large countries and redrawing borders, replacing the Sykes-Picot lines with those based on sectarianism, tribalism, and ethnicity—borders of bloodshed. We are witnessing suspicious movements in Africa that foreshadow an international conflict to seize everything Europe once possessed, from the east to the west and from the north to the center of the continent. The complete expulsion of Europe from Africa would pave the way for the downfall, or significant weakening, of major European powers, as they thrive on the wealth of this African continent.

America's attempts to penetrate deep into Africa, change the political map, and also change borders and divide major countries to make them unable to unite, especially at the present time when the West fears the fall of its hegemony, especially during the major crises to come, which are the great financial collapse and the risk of a third world war or the intensification of the Cold War to bring down American hegemony, and so on.

Conflict on this continent takes many overlapping forms; the most significant is international conflict in some countries, along with power struggles in certain regions and resource conflicts across the continent. We will examine these conflicts to understand the realities on this continent.

International conflict is a reality that has existed previously on this continent, but in the form of a new Cold War on Africa, as most of Africa before the First World War had been subjected to division among the European powers at the Berlin Conference of 1884-1885, where European countries occupied African lands. However, after the First World War, a new division of colonies took place according to the decisions of the League of Nations at that time under the name of the mandate system, and the actual division after the First World War became as follows:

Britain: Egypt, Sudan, Nigeria, Ghana, Kenya, Uganda, South Africa, Zimbabwe, Zambia, and Tanzania

France: Algeria, Tunisia, Morocco, Mali, Niger, Chad, Senegal, Mauritania, Cameroon, Togo, and Madagascar

Belgium: Congo, Rwanda, and Burundi

Italy: Libya, Eritrea, and Italian Somalia

Portugal: Angola, Mozambique, Guinea-Bissau, Cape Verde, and São Tomé and Príncipe

Spain: Western Sahara, Equatorial Guinea, and northern Morocco

However, after World War II and the emergence of two powers, the Soviet Union and the United States, America raised the slogan of liberating peoples, and of course the goal was to

extend its influence over these countries instead of Europe, which prompted the European countries to give these countries their independence and install their agents as rulers over them to manage their interests. The largest share was for Britain, which had power and political cunning, and it took care of the agents and created a middle ground for them, so it obtained the largest dependent countries, and France maintained what it could maintain.

Here the traditional mandate system ended and the era of indirect control began. Since then, America has been seeking to penetrate Africa, where Egypt was a strategic follower and a point of departure. After several attempts, it was able to buy influence through military bases and aid under the guise of humanitarianism, military training, and similar malicious methods. It obtained the largest base in Djibouti and bases in Kenya and Somalia.

Today, following the outbreak of what was termed the Arab Spring, the continent has witnessed profound transformations. Numerous coups have occurred, including in Mali, Burkina Faso, Guinea, Sudan, and most recently, Niger. Subsequently, demonstrations erupted in Senegal, and movements in Ethiopia, particularly by the Fano militias in the Amhara region, also took place. Most of these events are part of the international struggle, some resolved and others still unresolved, as was the case in Libya before it achieved a semblance of stability that benefited the United States, and as is currently happening in Sudan after the military takeover. To thwart Britain's ambitions, the United States orchestrated a clash between the military factions, thereby undermining the British presence in Sudan and paving

the way for the partition it desires. Nigeria will also face similar challenges following direct threats from US President Trump, ostensibly to protect Christians there.

All of this leads to the continuation of this conflict, which will eventually extend to North Africa, Algeria, Tunisia and Morocco, the Horn of Africa, and the Sahel, until European influence is completely ousted. The conflict will then transform from an international struggle into a struggle for influence and resources.

In other words, after gaining control of the region, America was able to create different power centers among regional powers or local populations, instigating conflicts that it then manages. For example, Ethiopia's conflict with Sudan is a conflict over borders, identity, influence, and water. Similarly, Egypt's conflict with Ethiopia is a strategic one, also related to water. In Sudan, the conflict is one of tribal influence, and in Libya, it is a struggle for influence between the east and west of the country.

The struggle for influence differs from the struggle between states. America, after gaining control, may allow weak players from outside to enter the arena to do what it wants, as is happening in Sudan with the intervention of the UAE, but in a limited way and within the framework of utilitarian interests, not strategic ones.

The conflict over resources revolves around natural wealth and control of vital resources and important waterways that America seeks to fully control, such as the Bab el-Mandeb Strait, the Gulf of Guinea, and the Red Sea. It is well known that the African continent is very rich in these resources, particularly in relatively untouched areas. Other countries, such as China,

Russia, and smaller nations, enter the scene, but the conflict centers on acquiring material gains without interfering in the state's affairs or policies. In this context, the state remains subject to what America deems appropriate or permits. This is all accompanied by the presence of movements and militias that serve as instruments of conflict in all its forms, such as the armed groups currently active, Boko Haram, Al-Shabaab, M23, the Janjaweed, and the Wagner Group.

These conflicts will never stop, even if the continent falls into the hands of America alone. The continuation of these conflicts perpetuates America's existence, the state of plunder, the marginalization and enslavement of the peoples of the country, and distracting them with crumbs, so that they can carry out systematic and organized plunder, which may reach the level of false, quasi-legitimate international rights that allow them to continue.

This means that the conflict in the African continent will intensify, and we may see massacres, betrayals, continuous coups, regional wars, and civil wars fueled by the people of the region and the destruction of their lands and infrastructure.

Therefore, we urge the people of the continent to spare themselves the destruction that will be poured upon them, and we offer them the compass for the radical solution to all their problems, which is the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) that protects and cares for their rights, preserves their blood, achieves justice and equality among them, stops colonialism in all its forms, restores to this continent its strength

and its plundered resources, and rids it of the greedy capitalist order.

O Muslims: The continuation of Western hegemony will only bring us weakness and humiliation. Therefore, we must, as we possess the solution for the people, bring them out of the worship of capitalism, which plunders their wealth, kills their children, and occupies their countries, and into the justice and light of Islam.

Therefore, we call upon you to join us in resuming the Islamic way of life as Allah ﷻ and His Messenger ﷺ commanded us, so that you may earn the glory of this world and the hereafter. We draw the attention of the armies of this great continent to the fact that your blood will be shed, but your killer and your killed will be in Hell, if your fighting is not to raise the Word of Allah ﷻ as the Highest. So be aware of what you are doing and to whom you are doing it, for the Day of Reckoning is coming and everyone is responsible for what his hands have committed.

Britain Longs for Aden and the Red Sea, Yet Is There Anyone Ready to Expel it?

Media outlets inside and outside Yemen circulated, on Tuesday, 18/11/2025, the news of the arrival of the British Minister of State for Middle East and North Africa Affairs, Hamish Falconer, to Aden; where he met with Rashad Al-Alimi, Chairman of the eight-member Presidential Council, and the British minister also met the Prime Minister Salem bin Buraik and the Foreign Minister Dr. Shaya Zindani, and a number of ministers, and Falconer was accompanied by the British Ambassador to Aden, Abda Sharif.

In this regard, the media office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in Wilayah Yemen issued a press release, which included the following: Indeed, Britain, which removed its soldiers from Yemen, returned through these vile rulers who continued to care for its interests, and these repeated visits between the rulers of Yemen and London prove to the people of Yemen that these are employees of the disbelieving West, so it is fitting that we sweep them out of our land, them and those behind them.

On the other side, there is another face of a colonial state whose interests collide with Britain's interests in the region, namely America, which stands behind the statements of Eritrean President Isaias Afwerki on 16/11/2025, that the foreign military bases in the Yemeni islands are a direct threat to the security of the Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden, and a pretext for instability... and that the security of the Red Sea is the responsibility of the countries of the region, and he called for collective cooperation among the littoral regimes and for none of them to act alone. Followed by the Houthi dissatisfaction with the Yemen Maritime

Security Conference, and the presence of Abu Dhabi on the Yemeni islands, and its threat to the Port of Hodeidah, and all the British moves that they see as directed at them and targeting them.

Hizb ut Tahrir, the pioneering party that does not lie to its people, calls the people of Yemen and all Muslims to work with it to establish the second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) State on the method of Prophethood, to unite the Muslims, implement Islam, and cut off the hand of the kuffar (disbelievers).