



# Ar-Rayah Newspaper

Issue 594 (8 April 2026)

(Translated from Arabic)

[Editorial: Escalation in Blue Nile to Prolong the War in Sudan Page 2](#)

[Feature Article: Answer to Question: The War on Iran Page 6](#)

[Who Will Help the Prisoners of Palestine?! Page 17](#)

[Neutrality in the Wrong Place Is Supporting Falsehood and Abandoning the Truth Page 20](#)

[Political Perspectives: Europe's Refusal to Participate in America's War on Iran Page 22](#)

[The Conflict Between Afghanistan and Pakistan Page 27](#)

[The Sudanese Regime Imposes Taxes on Displaced! Page 33](#)

[The Jewish Entity is Nothing but a Lowly Mouse Hiding in America's Pocket Page 35](#)

[Only the Islamic System Can Free Humanity from the Greed of Capitalism Page 37](#)

[America's Dilemma in the War against Iran Page 39](#)

[Trump Says that Türkiye is Fantastic, and Erdoğan is a Great Leader Page 44](#)

[When the Unifying State is Absent Even Immense Energies Become Scattered Page 49](#)

[Come Forth, O Muslims, for This is Hizb ut Tahrir Calling You to the Ship of Salvation Page 51](#)

O Muslims: Indeed, Hizb ut Tahrir calls you to free yourselves from subservience to America and the West, which has brought you humiliation, disgrace, and division. Hizb ut Tahrir works among you to establish the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) upon the Method of the Prophethood, which will unite your word. Through it, you will establish your Deen and carry it as a message of goodness and guidance to all humanity, bringing them out of the darkness of capitalism into the light of Islam. This is our call, and it is the call of Allah ﷻ and His Messenger ﷺ to the believers. We ask Allah ﷻ to open your hearts to this goodness so that you may respond to it, for in it lies your life, your salvation, and the pleasure of your Lord.

## **Escalation in Blue Nile to Prolong the War in Sudan**

**By: Ustadh Ibrahim Osman (Abu Khalil)\***

The escalation in Blue Nile State was not surprising. Movements in South Sudan had been observed for some time, coinciding with a noticeable increase in the number of South Sudanese mercenaries who joined the ranks of the Rapid Support Forces (RSF). It wasn't long before documented media reports emerged confirming the establishment of a large camp inside Ethiopian territory for training and supporting the RSF. Furthermore, the French newspaper Le Monde published an investigative report on its website on March 18th and 19th detailing 36 flights over a four-month period, from the end of last year to the beginning of this year, transporting weapons to these camps.

Despite the Sudanese government's awareness of these camps, it has taken no serious action against Ethiopia, issuing only statements for domestic consumption! At the beginning of last month, the Sudanese Foreign Ministry issued a statement saying, "Throughout February and the beginning of March, the Sudanese government has been monitoring the entry of drones from within Ethiopian territory," considering this a blatant violation of Sudanese sovereignty and an outright act of aggression against the Sudanese state.

However, Sudan did not summon the Ethiopian ambassador to protest this action, nor did it take any action to stop these camps, or at least to strike them. On March 24, the strategic city of Kurmuk fell to the Rapid Support Forces and the Sudan People's

Liberation Movement-North. The Sudanese army accused Ethiopia of supporting the Rapid Support Forces, including launching drones from its territory into Sudan. The Blue Nile State government also confirmed in an official statement that the forces that carried out the attack launched from within Ethiopian territory, noting that military vehicles arrived via Arus Airport. The governor of Blue Nile State described the events as an invasion, referring to Ethiopia. Despite all these statements and direct accusations against Ethiopia, the Sudanese government has taken no serious action against Ethiopia, which suggests complicity in further complicating the situation. The aim is to portray the Rapid Support Forces as invincible, impervious to defeat, thus necessitating negotiations, recognition of their existence, and allowing them to carve up Darfur, even paving the way for the Blue Nile region to become the next stage in the fragmentation of Sudan.

The fall of Kurmuk is no small matter. It is a strategic area due to its border location and its vital role as a link between land routes extending towards Ethiopia and South Sudan, granting control over it the ability to control military supply lines. Furthermore, the mountainous terrain of the region makes it an ideal vantage point for observation and surveillance, which directly impacts the balance of power in Blue Nile State and the surrounding areas. The worst aspect of this war is that it is the ordinary, defenseless person who pays the price—materially, morally, and physically—who has no stake in it whatsoever, except that their land and livelihood have become a battleground. They bear the brunt of this war, suffering displacement and homelessness after losing everything they own. Every time war breaks out, whether in Darfur, Khartoum in central Sudan, Kordofan, Gezira, or elsewhere, the human cost is exorbitant. This is the price people

are paying today after the fall of Kurmuk and other areas in Blue Nile State, where thousands of elderly people, women, and children have been displaced in search of safety. This comes amidst escalating battles in the Blue Nile region, where Governor Ahmed al-Umda Badi announced the arrival of Sudanese army reinforcements in preparation for retaking Kurmuk. Meanwhile, the International Organization for Migration reported that thousands of people fled the city between February 14 and March 24 due to the deteriorating security situation and escalating clashes. The Sudanese Doctors Network called on international and humanitarian organizations, particularly the United Nations, to intervene immediately to provide urgent support and humanitarian aid, including food, medicine, and shelter, and to ensure that relief reaches those affected. The network warned of the deteriorating health and humanitarian situation among the displaced, especially given the lack of healthcare services, the spread of diseases, and malnutrition.

Thus, we see that America's policy of exerting pressure on the periphery in Sudan is bearing fruit. It succeeded in pulling the South apart until it was severed from Sudan, and now this pressure is being applied in Darfur, after enabling its agent, Hemedti, to seize control of almost all of Darfur, except for small pockets that do not significantly affect the military or political landscape. Furthermore, it has turned a blind eye, perhaps even at its behest, to the formation of a parallel government in Darfur.

The war in Blue Nile is not like it was in Darfur, or even in nearby areas of West or North Kordofan. It is now spreading to other areas, as happened in South Kordofan, and drone warfare is being waged in many cities, such as Kosti, Rabak, and El Obeid, with ordinary people paying the price. Now the stage is set in Blue Nile

State, all to prolong the war and instill despair in the people, making them believe that this war will not end with the army's victory over these rebel movements. Consequently, they are expected to accept America's dictates, even if the price is the secession of Darfur and its separation from Sudan, as happened in South Sudan, where the conspiracy proceeded without any action from the Sudanese people to stop it! Now, if serious action is not taken to prevent America and its agents from implementing the conspiracy to fragment Sudan by separating Darfur, a day will come when we will regret our negligence of our country's unity and our allowing America, through its agents, to fulfill its desire to dismantle what remains of Sudan. Therefore, it was imperative to restrain those tampering with Sudan's unity and to work diligently to establish an ideological state that works to unify Sudan and cuts off the hands of those who tamper with its resources. Since the majority of the people of Sudan are Muslims, the principle is that we should establish our state on the basis of the great Islam, which makes people equal regardless of their races or religions, and makes them equal in rights and obligations, based on what the truthful Shariah says, under the state of Islam, the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood.

**\* Official Spokesperson of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Sudan**

## Answer to Question: The War on Iran

### Question:

What are the implications of Trump's wavering statements, from giving Iran a 48-hour ultimatum to a 5-day deadline, then a 10-day deadline, and his proposed 15-point plan? Also, there's the maneuvering of statements, mostly from Trump and a few from Iran. Then there's the Iranian television announcement that Iran rejects Trump's proposal. And then the results of all this... Will Trump achieve his goals of preventing Iran from acquiring nuclear weapons and heavy missiles, thus forcing Iran back into the orbit of the US, or making it a dependent state, or will it become an independent state? And is it true that the Jewish entity, with America's approval, is seeking to expand its territory by annexing southern Lebanon up to the Litani River, as the Jewish defense minister stated? And how can Muslims not realize that the Islamic State—the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate)—is the one that will bring Trump and his ilk down, just as it did with the Roman emperors and the Persian kings, thus turning their plots against them, so that Islam and Muslims may be exalted, and kufr (disbelief) and the kuffar (disbelievers) may perish?

### Answer:

To clarify the answers to the three-part question above, we will examine the following:

**First: The first part of the question, concerning Trump's objective of making Iran its subservient state, a state that remains within its sphere of influence, or an independent state:**

**1-** On Saturday morning, 28/2/2026, US President Trump posted a video on his Truth Social platform announcing that his forces in the Middle East had launched major combat operations in Iran. His close ally, Netanyahu, joined him. This revealed a hardening of positions within Iran, particularly within the Revolutionary Guard, regarding its relationship with America. This is because the Trump administration began demanding profound concessions from Iran to make it a completely dependent state, essentially removing it from its sphere of influence. The combination of assassinating leaders and forcing Iran to revolve around its orbit is highly unlikely. America did not launch the war on Iran to expel it from its orbit and leave it an independent state. Rather, it was confident of quickly subjugating Iran and making it a dependent state with the initial shock. This has only one meaning: the Trump administration was coordinating with leaders within the regime to assume control immediately after the initial shock, that is, after the assassination of the top resistance leaders. This did not happen! The Revolutionary Guard was able to control the situation. Therefore, Trump and the Jewish entity were astonished that the regime in Iran was cohesive and was launching missiles and drones with unprecedented ferocity and remarkable audacity. The Iranian strikes included the Jewish entity and American bases in the Gulf and the region. Trump said that some of the men he had hoped would assume power had been unintentionally killed! America thought that this violent Iranian response was the result of some leaders acting impulsively after the assassination of the Supreme Leader, and it waited for the appointment of a new Supreme Leader. However, after Mojtaba was appointed to succeed his father, and after a few weeks, the regime in Iran had stabilized under the control of

those hostile to America, especially since its attacks had crossed all boundaries.

**2-** It appears that the calculations of America and the Jewish entity were flawed. When they launched their aggression against Iran, they set a short timeframe for the war, estimated at four days, with a massive, swift attack targeting its top leadership, nuclear facilities, and missile factories and launch sites. They assumed that once they struck the head of the regime and its top leaders, the second tier would surrender and submit to their terms, as happened in Venezuela when US forces kidnapped its president, and his vice president and her entourage surrendered to America. However, this did not happen in Iran after the assassination of its Supreme Leader, Ali Khamenei, and some of the regime's leaders. The Revolutionary Guard stood firm and decided to confront this aggression and attack the enemies. Consequently, a rift emerged between America and Iran, which had been within its sphere of influence. America sought to change this relationship; otherwise, it would not have launched this aggression and then authorized the Jewish entity to assassinate the most important figures in the regime, including the Supreme Leader. This indicates that America aimed to change the regime's policy from a satellite state to a dependent one, thus enabling it to dictate terms in negotiations with Iran. However, it failed to achieve this and decided to continue the war.

**3-** What confirms that America's goal was to change the Iranian regime's policies, and that it did not expect the regime to withstand the aggression and retaliate despite the killing of many of its top leaders, is the statement made by its Secretary of War, Hegseth, on 10/3/2026: "I can't say that we anticipated, necessarily, that's exactly how they would react." Similarly, the



New York Times reported on 12/3/2026, citing informed sources, that Trump and other advisers remained confident that killing Iran's senior leadership would lead to more pragmatic leaders taking over who might bring an end to the war—that is, surrender to America and accept its terms! When their hopes of a swift surrender proved unfounded, Trump began talking about two weeks, perhaps even four, to resolve the conflict. He wants to end the war in any way that allows him to appear victorious, not in a way that portrays him as defeated and humiliated, as happened with the withdrawal of US forces from Afghanistan in 2021. He wants to end the war before things escalate and negatively impact him and his party domestically, especially with midterm congressional elections coming up this fall, where a loss could affect the 2028 presidential election. Trump is trying to project a verbal victory! He told the American news website Axios on 11/3/2026, that there's "practically nothing left to target" in Iran. We are way ahead of the timetable. We have done more damage than we thought possible, even in the original six-week period." Trump is making statements that manipulate words as if he has won. This indicates that America's situation is precarious; it has not achieved its objectives quickly and without losses.

**4-** Trump then resorted to maneuvering by extending deadlines as a new strategy. On March 22, he issued a strict 48-hour ultimatum, then on March 23, he extended it by five days under the pretext of holding "constructive talks." On March 26, he announced a further ten-day extension, until 6/4/2026, along with other contradictory statements. His aim with this strategy is to exert psychological and political pressure on Iran to force it to surrender. These extensions may also be a cover for the military buildup that America will send to the region to launch a limited ground operation against Iran or Kharg Island. He has done this

before in his previous attacks. He is maneuvering to send new forces, “it has been reported that the White House and the US Department of War are considering sending at least 10,000 additional combat troops to the Middle East in the coming days.” (MEBA News, 27/3/2026). In other words, these deadlines are deceptive maneuvers, as before.

**5-** Trump and his arrogance left nothing but what he calls peace through strength, i.e., negotiations under fire. He announced a 15-point plan that he presented to Iran through Pakistan to end the war. The plan consists of the following: (complete dismantling of accumulated nuclear capabilities, a pledge never to seek to possess nuclear weapons, a halt to uranium enrichment on Iranian soil, the handover of all enriched materials to the International Atomic Energy Agency within a short timeframe, the disabling and destruction of the Natanz, Isfahan and Fordow facilities, making all information inside Iran available to the International Atomic Energy Agency, Iran abandoning the “doctrine of proxy forces,<sup>2</sup> stopping financial and military support for its allies in the region, keeping the Strait of Hormuz an open and free maritime passage for all, and addressing the issue of missiles later, so that restrictions are imposed on the number and range, and their use is limited to “legitimate defense” only...” (Al-Araby Al-Jadeed, 25/03/2026). It is clear from the points of this plan that Trump's goal is to remove Iran from its position as a satellite state and transform it into a subservient state that implements all of America's dictates. Even the international press has described this as a document of surrender, a document that effectively transforms Iran into a subordinate state.

“But the plan put forward by the Trump administration via Pakistan amounts, in effect, to an instrument of surrender.”

(Doha Institute, 26/3/2026). On March 24, 2026, Trump had a phone call with his favourite marshal, General Asim Munir, the Pakistani army chief, urging him to force Iran to accept the agreement on Trump's terms. He was unsuccessful. Iran rejected the plan on state television, a rejection that signified its refusal to become a "subordinate state." In response, Iran presented its own five-point plan: a halt to assassinations targeting Iranian officials, to be given guarantees against a new war against the country, payment of war reparations, an end to hostilities, and recognition of Iranian sovereignty over the Strait of Hormuz... (Euronews, 25/3/2026). While this Iranian offer did not address nuclear and missile programs, it did not align with the American 15-point plan. Thus, the negotiations remained at a standstill.

**6-**However, communication continued, albeit indirectly. Iranian Foreign Minister Abbas Araghchi stated in an interview with Al Jazeera on 31/3/2026, that "what is happening now is not direct negotiations or negotiations through intermediaries. He is receiving messages directly from the US envoy, Witkoff as before. This does not mean we are negotiating. There is no truth to the claim of negotiations with any specific party in Iran. Messages are being delivered through the Foreign Ministry, and there are contacts between security agencies... under the supervision of the National Security Council." He added, "We have not made any decision regarding negotiations. We have reservations about them, and our conditions for ending the war are clear. We will not accept a ceasefire; rather, we seek a complete cessation of hostilities, not only in Iran but throughout the entire region." He further stated, "Iran's conditions are a guarantee that the attacks will not be repeated and to be given compensation for the losses." This statement is ambiguous; there are contacts, but no negotiations! Nevertheless, it indicates that there are those

within the Iranian regime who are willing to negotiate, and that the US could halt the war and begin negotiations at any moment, as communication between the US and the regime continues, as Araghchi mentioned. However, the Revolutionary Guard is more hardline than the regime's men, as it continues to strike at American interests in the Gulf and surrounding areas, and in the occupied territories, and refuses to negotiate.

**7-** Upon careful consideration of the preceding points, the conclusion regarding the first part of the question is as follows:

**a-** The Revolutionary Guard is striving to free Iran from American influence and prevent it from returning to the US orbit, instead establishing it as an independent state: “Iranian Revolutionary Guard: Any enemy action in the Strait of Hormuz will be met with a decisive response from the naval forces.” (MTV Lebanon, 4/3/2026). Iranian Revolutionary Guard spokesman Ibrahim Zolfaghari confirmed on Thursday that the war will continue until the enemies are humiliated and surrender, emphasizing that the strikes will continue at a harsher and wider pace.” (Al-Ayyam News, 2/4/2026). “The Iranian Revolutionary Guard confirmed on Wednesday that the strategic Strait of Hormuz will remain closed to the country's enemies, while Trump stated that he would not consider a ceasefire unless it was reopened.” (Akhbar Al-Youm, 1/4/2026). “The Revolutionary Guard stated via its Telegram channel, “From now on, for every assassination, an American company will be destroyed.” (Al-Arabiya.net, 1/4/2026).

**b-** The Iranian regime's leaders waver between strength and weakness, and their greatest wish is for Iran to remain within the American sphere of influence, if they can. It wouldn't be a big deal for them if Iran became a subordinate state of America, like many other countries in the region. It seems Trump has the

“right” people to talk to in Iran (US President Donald Trump said on Tuesday evening that he is “dealing with the right people in Iran,” and when asked about those who are talking to the United States, Trump replied, “Because I don’t want them killed.” (France 24 (English), 23/3/2026). “A Pakistani source revealed to Reuters that Israel temporarily removed Foreign Minister Abbas Araghchi and Parliament Speaker Mohammad Bagher Ghalibaf from its assassination target list after Pakistan asked Washington not to target them, informing them that “if they are also eliminated, there will be no one left to talk to.” (Al Jazeera Net, 26/3/2026).

**c-** As for Trump, his goal in this war is for Iran to be subservient to him, obeying his orders, controlling its oil and gas, and sharing influence in the Strait of Hormuz with him in a larger share! America wants to conduct the war in a manner that achieves the maximum possible of its goals. It can resort to escalation by striking energy facilities in Iran, even if Iran responds by targeting Gulf energy facilities and the price of a barrel of oil rises to the maximum possible. America can impose a blockade on the Strait of Hormuz without opening it, that is, preventing Iranian oil tankers or those that Iran allows from crossing the Arabian Sea.

\* Trump’s dreams of drawing Iran into subservience will not end as long as he has men within the regime... If these men seize power, Trump's dreams will be realized.

\* However, if Trump’s hopes of these men to come to power are dashed by the course of the war, and the Revolutionary Guard remains militarily steadfast and restores cohesion to the country, then Iran will move towards independence, as this war has severed the last thread that held Iran in America's orbit.

**Second: The second part of the question concerns Lebanon and whether the Jewish entity seeks to annex the south up to the Litani River with American approval:**

1- Regarding Lebanon, Al Jazeera reported on 26/3/2026, citing sources, that "Iran informed mediators of the necessity for Lebanon to be part of any ceasefire." On 24/3/2026, Israel Katz announced that his forces would control an area in southern Lebanon up to the Litani River, stating, "The displaced residents will not return to south of the Litani before the security of the residents of northern Israel is guaranteed." He added, "His forces have blown up all five bridges over the Litani River used by Hezbollah... and will control the remaining bridges and establish a security zone extending to the Litani River." (Asharq Al-Awsat, 24/3/2026). On 19/3/2026, the Lebanese Prime Minister told CNN that he had sent a message to Trump, "I would like to assure President Trump of our readiness to enter into immediate negotiations with the Israeli side."

2- Therefore, the statements of the Jewish entity indicate its intention to establish a buffer zone extending to the Litani River in southern Lebanon, and speak of emptying this area of its Lebanese inhabitants. This will not be easy for its army to achieve due to the resistance in the south. Furthermore, the Jewish entity is not capable of fighting except with the support of people after having severed the bond with Allah. Therefore, if America's aggression ends, they will stop automatically.

**Third: The third part of the question regarding the Khilafah (Caliphate), which will restore glory to Islam and Muslims and humiliate disbelief and disbelievers:**

1- There is no good in the rulers of the Muslim countries, as it is unlikely they will return to the right path. Therefore, reliance can

only be placed on the Muslim Ummah when it establishes a state, and then they will be united in one state embodied in the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) under an aware political leadership with a firm and unwavering will. Their deeds are recorded in the pages of history; they defeated the two greatest empires, Persia and Rome, in a number of years. They continued their conquests in the east and west of the earth until nations submitted to them, and massive armies were defeated before them, and the crowns of kings, emperors, and Lords fell at their feet. This is the fate of America, it will be crushed, Allah willing, and forced to close its bases and withdraw its troops across the Atlantic, dragging the tails of defeat and shame. Thus, the noses of Trump and his ilk will be rubbed in the dirt. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿قُلْ لِلَّذِينَ كَفَرُوا سَتْغَلِبُونَ وَتُحْشَرُونَ إِلَىٰ جَهَنَّمَ وَبِئْسَ الْمِهَادُ﴾ **“O Prophet! Tell the disbelievers, “Soon you will be overpowered and driven to Hell—what an evil place to rest!””** [TMQ Surah Aal-i-Imran: 12]

**2-** It is true that Iran is launching attacks on American military bases in the Gulf, and it is true that it has launched similar attacks against the Jewish entity. It is also true that these attacks possess a degree of power. However, the rulers of Iran cannot defeat America and turn it back upon itself unless the Khilafah (Caliphate) is established, supporting Allah and implementing His laws, so that it may be victorious by Allah’s permission, illuminating the world with its justice and jihad, and Allah will honour it with His nasr (victory). Allah ﷻ said, ﴿إِنْ تَنْصُرُوا اللَّهَ ۖ يَنْصُرْكُمْ وَيُثَبِّتْ أَقْدَامَكُمْ﴾ **“O believers! If you stand up for Allah, He will help you and make your steps firm”** [Muhammad: 7]

Then America will be taught lesson after lesson until it realizes the truth. America is fighting Muslims today from their own lands

and airports, using its agents to repel attacks on the Jewish entity. The Khilafah will storm these agents' strongholds and expel them decisively. The Khilafah will mobilize the Muslims along its path, increasing its strength until it becomes a raging torrent that reaches America's bases outside Muslim lands. A mighty flood will then be unleashed, destroying the thrones of rulers in its path, liberating Palestine, and utterly crushing the Jewish entity. This is easily attainable, Allah willing, even though many consider it a fantasy. The Ummah possesses a powerful, river-like creed and harbours deep hatred for America and the Jews due to the severity and magnitude of their oppression. These scenes of victory are not far off, Allah willing, when Allah ﷻ grants His great victory. Perhaps what the Muslim Ummah will do afterward, and what the battlefields will proclaim, surpasses even the most eloquent description. Allah ﷻ has established His way in this world, according to His words, ﴿وَكَانَ حَقًّا عَلَيْنَا نَصْرُ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ﴾ **“For it is Our duty to help the believers”** [TMQ Surah Ar-Rum: 47].

**17 Shawwal 1447 AH**

**4/4/2026 CE**



# Who Will Help the Prisoners of Palestine When Gallows Have Been Erected for Them?!

On Monday, March 30, 2026, the Knesset in the Jewish entity passed a law to execute Palestinian prisoners, and then the criminal Itamar Ben-Gvir and his companions celebrated by drinking alcohol in jubilation over the law.

This law came in light of the suffering of prisoners from the horrors of the criminal entity's prisons, including torture, hunger, cold, and denial of treatment, to the point that those who enter those prisons are considered lost and those who leave them are considered reborn.

In the face of this brutality and arrogance, a press release issued by the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in the Blessed Land of Palestine said: The crimes of the criminal entity have spread far and wide in Gaza, the West Bank, Lebanon, Syria, and elsewhere. To these crimes has been added the closure of Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa and the prevention of worshippers from accessing it for over a month. Thus, Al-Aqsa has become a captive, threatened with execution and demolition, as the extremists of the Jewish entity declare day and night. And so, the captives and the sanctuary have fallen into the hands of those most hostile to the believers!

The statement further emphasized that: The prisoners and the Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa will not be saved by statements of condemnation and denunciation, nor by appeals from ulema urging the Muslim world to take to the streets before the mosque is demolished or the prisoners are executed. The crime did not begin with the execution order, but rather the day the Jewish entity was

permitted to imprison a Muslim from the people of Palestine, and the armies of the Muslim world failed to rise up to free him.

It added: The crime did not begin the day the criminals threatened to demolish Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa, nor the day they prevented worshippers from accessing the site of the Israa' (Night Journey) of the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ. Instead, it began the day the monstrous entity occupied it and placed its chains upon its walls. It began the day the subservient regimes conspired to hand over all of Palestine, and its crown jewel, Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa, to the Jewish entity, and then called for peace with it while it occupied the land and killed innocent people: It imprisoned men and women and waded through seas of blood spilled by the people of Gaza and all of Palestine.

The press release also affirmed: The Jewish entity and its most heinous criminals are too insignificant and small to occupy Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa, and Allah has decreed, **﴿وَضَرَبْتَ عَلَيْهِمُ الدَّلَّةَ﴾** **“They will be stricken with disgrace”** [Aali 'Imran 3:112] and decreed **﴿لَنْ يَضُرُّوكُمْ إِلَّا أَذَىٰ وَإِنْ يِقَاتِلُوكُمْ يُؤَلُّوكُمُ الْأَدْبَارَ ثُمَّ لَا يُنْصَرُونَ﴾** **“They can never inflict harm on you, except a little annoyance. But if they meet you in battle, they will flee and they will have no helpers.”** [Aali 'Imran 3:111] and decreed **﴿وَلَتَجِدَنَّهُمْ أَحْرَصَ النَّاسِ عَلَىٰ حَيَاتِهِ﴾** **“You will surely find them clinging to life”** [Al-Baqarah 2:96]. Allah ﷻ spoke the truth. False are the claims of those who say that the Ummah, with its armies or some of them, cannot liberate Palestine from its sea to its river and cleanse its sanctuary from the filth of the Jews in any single hour of the day.

The press release continued: While freeing prisoners is a Shariah obligation upon the Islamic Ummah and its armies, rescuing them from execution, especially when gallows have been erected for

them, is even more imperative. While remaining silent about the occupation of the Blessed Land is a crime, remaining silent about the closure of Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa and the threats to demolish it is an even greater crime. And while failing to liberate Palestine is a grave sin, failing to liberate it while the blood of its people is being spilled, and Al-Aqsa is being closed and its demolition being planned is the mother of all sins.

The press release concluded by reaffirming once again that: The duty of the Muslim Ummah today is the same as yesterday: To act, not merely to condemn and denounce, but to ignite its armies with the fervor of Iman, kindle the flame of Jihad in the Path of Allah, and overthrow the thrones of its rulers, having set its sights on the Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa, liberating it and proclaiming Allah's greatness with takbeer, ﴿وَلْيَدْخُلُوا الْمَسْجِدَ كَمَا دَخَلُوهُ أَوَّلَ مَرَّةٍ﴾ **“and enter that place of worship as they entered it the first time, and utterly destroy whatever would fall into their hands.”** [Al-Isra 17:7], any action that falls short of this duty is a betrayal of Allah, His Messenger, the Night Journey of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, and the blood of the people of Palestine and their prisoners. To Allah we belong and to Him we shall return.

# Neutrality in the Wrong Place Is Supporting Falsehood and Abandoning the Truth

(Al Jazeera Net) — Official Turkish sources revealed to Al Jazeera Arabic that Ankara has engaged in extremely intensive diplomatic efforts to reduce tensions, and prevent the escalation of the ongoing war in the Middle East, playing the role of mediator to convey American demands to Iranian officials.

The sources explained that Erdogan and his Foreign Minister, Hakan Fidan, made contacts with about ten countries in an effort to extend the 48-hour deadline that Trump had given to Iran to open the Strait of Hormuz and to create a basis for dialogue.

**Ar-Rayah Newspaper:** If the conflict were between two Muslim parties, we would say that the Shariah obligation in this case is to reconcile between them, in compliance with the Words of Allah, ﴿وَإِنْ طَائِفَتَانِ مِنَ الْمُؤْمِنِينَ اقْتَتَلُوا فَأَصْلِحُوا بَيْنَهُمَا﴾ **“And if two groups of believers fight each other, then make peace between them”** [TMQ Surah Al-Hujurat 9]

However, when the aggression comes from Crusader America and the Jewish entity, which has usurped the place of the Night Journey (Israa') of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, against a Muslim country while some rulers of Muslims host American military bases, from which it wages its attacks on Iran, and others position themselves as mediators between the disbelieving America and the Muslim country under attack, this is the height of betrayal.

The Shariah obligation is to expel all American bases, for Islam forbids allowing the disbelievers any authority over the believers. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿وَلَنْ يَجْعَلَ اللَّهُ لِلْكَافِرِينَ عَلَى الْمُؤْمِنِينَ سَبِيلًا﴾ **“And Allah**

**will never grant the disbelievers a way over the believers.” [TMQ Surah An-Nisa 141].**

Likewise, it is a Shariah obligation upon the armies of the Muslims to act in support of their brothers wherever they are attacked.

Before Iran, America and its allies attacked Afghanistan and then Iraq, and the Jews carried out a war of extermination in Gaza, yet the armies of the Muslims did not move to support them, despite the command of Allah ﷻ Who said, ﴿وَإِنِ اسْتَنْصَرُوكُمْ فِي الدِّينِ فَعَلَيْكُمْ﴾ **النَّصْرُ** **“But if they seek your help against persecution in Deen, it is your obligation to help them.” [TMQ Surah Al-Anfal 72].**

Therefore, we address the armies of the Muslims to fulfill their Shariah obligation by supporting those striving to for the resumption of the Islamic way of life, overthrow the treacherous rulers who stand as obstacles to aiding the oppressed Muslims, expel American bases from our lands and pursue them to the heart of their own countries, rid the world of their evils, eliminate the Jewish entity, and carry Islam as a Risaalah (message) of guidance and light to all people.

Allah ﷻ says, ﴿هُوَ الَّذِي أَرْسَلَ رَسُولَهُ بِالْهُدَىٰ وَدِينِ الْحَقِّ لِيُظْهِرَهُ عَلَى الدِّينِ كُلِّهِ وَلَوْ كَرِهَ الْمُشْرِكُونَ﴾ **“He is the One Who has sent His Messenger with true guidance and the Deen of truth, making it prevail over all others, even to the dismay of the polytheists.” [TMQ Surah At-Tawbah 33].**

# Europe's Refusal to Participate in America's War on Iran

**By: Ustadh Salem Abu Sbetan**



Before delving into the intricacies of the European stance refusing to join America in its war on Iran, it is necessary to look back a little, to understand the situation through the lens of the “Trump doctrine,” which has reshaped the concept of American foreign policy. President Trump’s statements were not mere campaign slogans, but instead sharp messages to both rivals and allies. Unbridled desires for economic and geographical domination surfaced, ranging from hinting at acquiring Gaza to transform it into major entertainment and economic projects, to unprecedented ideas of annexing Canada as the fifty-first American state, or purchasing Greenland.

These ambitions were not simply about geographical expansion, but instead a blow to the very heart of European sovereignty, given that Canada and Greenland are administratively and geopolitically tied to the European sphere of influence. This was accompanied by explicit threats to withdraw from NATO, within which Europe is considered the sole beneficiary, while exorbitant sums were imposed on Ukraine in exchange for military support, or for controlling its Rare Earth Elements (REEs). This approach, based on economic blackmail and the imposition of tariffs exceeding 200%, generated contempt and resentment among world leaders, especially traditional allies like Britain, who found themselves facing an administration behaving with unprecedented arrogance, while the Jewish entity became the favored child, whose demands were met without question.

American arrogance under Trump reached unprecedented levels in history, transforming the state into something resembling a transnational corporation that sets global codes of conduct, in isolation from international law and diplomatic norms, thus dismantling the international order established after World War II. Following the 700-day war in Gaza, Trump announced the establishment of a global “Board of Peace” as a replacement for the United Nations and its Security Council, claiming that these institutions had outlived their historical purpose.

However, Trump didn’t stop there. The hegemony extended to an extreme version of the Monroe Doctrine, prohibiting any international intervention in the affairs of the Americas, from across the Atlantic. This manifested itself in the blockade of Venezuela, the persecution of its president, Maduro, and the complete control of Venezuelan oil flows. These actions paved

the way for a major offensive in the Middle East: an attack on Iran.

The American plan was based on the “four-day” strategy: a lightning attack that would eliminate the top-tier leadership, including the Supreme Leader, with the aim of forcing Iran to surrender within 96 hours. The objective was to achieve the “America First” goal by seizing control of the Middle East, with its vast reserves of oil, gas, and Rare Earth Elements (REEs), and by controlling the straits and waterways that govern the lifeblood of global trade. American success in this would have meant fulfilling the prediction of Henry Kissinger and Zbigniew Brzezinski, that whoever controls the Middle East controls the world and becomes the sole leader without rival. However, even meticulously planned and executed strategies, practiced for decades, can overlook unforeseen aspects, due to the arrogance of possessing overwhelming power. America adopted a preemptive strike strategy to destabilize and paralyze its adversary, but Iran did not succumb to the initial attack. Instead, it launched a swift and powerful response, using ballistic and hypersonic missiles, crippling American bases and rendering many inoperable. It also inflicted immense damage and destruction upon the Jewish entity, America’s favored ally.

Here we ask: Why did Europe refuse to participate in this war? European capitals, along with Beijing and Moscow, understand that America’s success in achieving absolute control over the Middle East would permanently place Europe under American influence. These countries felt compelled to break free from blind subservience, with broad-spectrum alliances, that had brought them nothing but losses.



Europe invoked the NATO treaty, which stipulates collective defense in the event of an attack on one of its members, a provision not applicable to the initial attack launched by the US and Jewish entity against Iran. Indeed, European intelligence reports confirmed that Iran did not pose a direct existential threat warranting a full-scale war. Adding to European resentment was America's abandonment of them in the Ukrainian crisis, where Europe was left to bear the exorbitant costs of the war. Furthermore, America exploited the disruption of Russian gas supplies, which it had helped to destroy, to sell American gas to Europeans at exorbitant prices.

As a result, an undeclared alliance emerged among the other major powers, Europe, Russia, and China, to prevent American unilateralism. This alliance was not driven by any affection for Iran, but instead by a desire to make America bear the bitter consequences of its decisions alone. Nevertheless, a complex geopolitical reality exists: these powers do not want America to collapse completely, or suffer a crushing defeat that would lead to its demise, as this would cause a massive political and security vacuum, that no existing power could fill.

Europe fears that a crushing defeat for America would lead to its retreat within its geographical borders, leaving the world, and the Middle East in particular, in a state of creative chaos and the law of the jungle, where the world's policeman is absent before a viable alternative emerges to maintain order. They want an America that is a partner, not a hegemonic power; an active state that respects collective interests, not a private corporation that plunders resources.

Iran's resilience and America's declining prestige in the eyes of the world have revealed a long-hidden truth: that the power once

perceived as an invincible force is, in reality, a power that can be broken by unwavering resolve. This situation places the Islamic Ummah before its historical responsibility. The geopolitical vacuum left by the competing powers, and the inability of the major players, Europe, Russia, and China, to offer an alternative project that achieves justice, opens the door for the ideological Islamic project.

This is a golden opportunity to bring forth an exemplary state, based on divine justice, untainted by narrow self-interest, to serve as the alternative in a world teetering between the arrogance of power, and the chaos of competing interests. History establishes that thrones built on injustice eventually fall, and that the promised victory (nasr) is linked to the extent to which the Ummah adheres to its original project, in accordance with the saying, of Allah ﷻ Who said, **﴿إِنْ تَنْصُرُوا اللَّهَ يَنْصُرْكُمْ وَيُثَبِّتْ أَقْدَامَكُمْ﴾** **“If you support Allah, He will support you and make your feet firm.”** [TMQ Surah Muhammad: 7].

# The Conflict Between Afghanistan and Pakistan: Colonialist Nationalistic Borders and Unhealed Wounds

By: Ustadh Yassin bin Yahya



In a region living amidst the echoes of historical upheavals, Afghanistan and Pakistan remain locked in a struggle over a border, one not drawn by the will of their peoples, but rather imposed by British colonialism at the height of its rivalry with the Russian Tsardom. The Durand Line, delineated in 1893, was never merely a geographical demarcation, it was a fissure carved through the very body of the Pashtun tribes. This line is a cradle for a conflict whose flames have burned unceasingly since the founding of Pakistan in 1947. Today, with the Taliban's return to power in Afghanistan in 2021, this conflict has reached a new turning point. The tension between the two states is no longer a mere border dispute but has evolved into a complex struggle, a

confluence of colonialist legacies, regional rivalries, and a contest for influence in the heart of Asia.

### **The British Legacy: Roots of the Conflict in the Durand Line**

It is impossible to comprehend the tension between Afghanistan and Pakistan without tracing its origins back to the Durand Line, a boundary drawn by Britain to delineate the spheres of its influence in British India and Afghanistan. The crux of the problem lies in the fact that this line bisected the cross-border Pashtun tribes, prompting Afghanistan to refuse official recognition of the boundary to this very day, while Pakistan regards it as a fixed international frontier upon which its own sovereignty rests. This persistent refusal by Afghanistan transforms any border skirmish, particularly at crossings such as Torkham, into a confrontation over legitimacy itself, instead of a mere dispute over border fencing. Pakistan inherited from Britain the doctrine of state succession, leading it to uphold the Durand Line as an integral part of international law. Afghanistan, conversely, frames its narrative on the premise that the treaty was imposed within the context of a colonialist power imbalance and, consequently, remains non-binding upon the state.

### **From the Cold War to the Taliban: Decades of Transformation**

The 1970s witnessed a pivotal shift when Afghan President Mohammed Daoud Khan embraced the concept of “Pashtunistan” lending support to separatist movements within Pakistan. Islamabad retaliated by backing the Afghan Islamist opposition, thereby initiating a phase in which the “Islamist card” was deployed against Afghanistan. However, the major turning point was the Soviet invasion of Afghanistan in 1979. With American and Saudi backing, Pakistan transformed into a rear base for the Mujahideen, a development that resulted in the

emergence of a transnational Jihadist network and the consolidation of Pakistani intelligence influence within Afghanistan.

In the 1990s, the Taliban movement emerged in 1994, supported by Pakistan, which viewed it as a means to achieve stability in Afghanistan and secure strategic depth against India. Following the attacks of September 11, 2001, the dynamic shifted. The United States invaded Afghanistan and toppled the Taliban regime. Pakistan subsequently found itself in a complex predicament, officially an ally of the U.S., yet simultaneously accused of harboring the Taliban. Consequently, it adopted a policy of “the double game,” outwardly supporting the “War on Terror” while covertly maintaining its influence within the Taliban.

### **The Return of the Taliban: A New Conflict and a Rejection of Pakistani Hegemony**

Following the U.S. withdrawal from Afghanistan in 2021 and the Taliban’s return to power, Pakistan anticipated improved relations. However, the reality proved to be quite the opposite. The Taliban government refused to combat the Tehreek-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP), a group carrying out attacks within Pakistan, while the contentious debate surrounding the Durand Line resurfaced with renewed intensity, accompanied by recurring border skirmishes. For the first time, the Taliban government itself entered into direct confrontation with Pakistan, leaving Islamabad no longer able to impose its will upon the Afghan leadership, as it had done in the past.

This development reflects a profound strategic shift. Pakistan, which once viewed Afghanistan as a vital component of its strategic depth, now faces a mounting internal security threat

from armed groups exploiting the porous border, all while grappling with a stifling economic crisis and increasing military attrition. Afghanistan, for its part, is now focused on consolidating its rule, and securing international recognition, firmly rejecting any form of Pakistani hegemony.

### **The Afghan-Pakistani Conflict as an Arena for Regional and International Rivalry**

What is unfolding along the border between Afghanistan and Pakistan is no longer merely a bilateral matter. Instead, it has become embedded within the broader framework of rivalry among major global and regional powers. China, for instance, views Pakistan as a strategic ally and considers its stability a paramount priority, essential for safeguarding the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) project as well as its own interests in East Turkestan. Consequently, Beijing is quietly exerting pressure on the Taliban government to secure the border, though it proceeds with extreme caution. Conversely, India seeks to curtail Pakistan's influence in Afghanistan, a goal it pursued by supporting previous Afghan governments and undertaking development initiatives. Even following the Taliban's return to power, India has opened channels of communication to provide humanitarian aid, in an effort to break the geographic and political encirclement imposed by Pakistan.

As for the United States, despite its military withdrawal, it retains significant intelligence capabilities and continues to monitor the situation closely, utilizing Pakistan as an indirect conduit. Its primary objective is to prevent Afghanistan from reverting to a safe haven for groups deemed extremist, while simultaneously counterbalancing Chinese influence in the region. Russia, for its part, and notwithstanding its historically adversarial relationship

with Afghanistan, has established channels of engagement with the Taliban government. Operating within an axis alongside China and Iran, Moscow aims to forge an alternative regional order, free from U.S. hegemony, with a particular focus on preventing the spillover of security threats into the Central Asian region.

## **Conclusion**

At the heart of the Afghan-Pakistani conflict lies not a transient nationalistic border dispute alone. Instead, it is a complex interplay involving a colonialist legacy that remains unresolved, a transnational tribal identity, and a struggle for influence among regional and international powers, vying for dominance in the heart of Asia. Pakistan, which long staked its strategic depth on Afghanistan, now finds itself facing a domestic threat that is draining its resources, while the Taliban strives to consolidate its rule within a regional environment fraught with peril. Amidst this dynamic, China moves quietly to safeguard its investments; India acts patiently to encircle its adversary; and the United States and Russia observe with wary vigilance, engaging in ceaseless intrigue.

What is unfolding today is not merely a series of border skirmishes, but a new chapter in an age-old conflict, one in which the two parties have transitioned from an undeclared alliance to overt security rivalry. This saga will not conclude, nor will it be resolved through battles between these fratricidal foes. Instead, it will persist as a hotbed from which the colonialist continues to thrive; for the colonialist nationalistic borders drawn over a century ago remain a stark testament to a wound that cannot heal, except through the establishment of an ideological state founded upon Islam. Such a state would dismantle colonialism in all its intellectual, political, and legal manifestations, and would

institute a system that unifies the Islamic Ummah, severing all ties to sectarianism, tribalism, nationalism, and every other noxious bond of the Jahiliyyah (Age of Ignorance.) It would be a Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the method of the Prophethood, a state in which the Divine Command of Allah ﷻ is fully realized. Allah ﷻ said, ﴿وَاعْتَصِمُوا بِحَبْلِ اللَّهِ جَمِيعًا وَلَا تَفَرَّقُوا ۗ وَاذْكُرُوا نِعْمَتَ اللَّهِ عَلَيْكُمْ إِذْ كُنْتُمْ أَعْدَاءً فَأَلَّفَ بَيْنَ قُلُوبِكُمْ فَأَصْبَحْتُمْ بِنِعْمَتِهِ إِخْوَانًا وَكُنْتُمْ عَلَىٰ شَفَا حُفْرَةٍ مِّنَ النَّارِ فَأَنْقَذَكُم مِّنْهَا كَذَٰلِكَ يُبَيِّنُ اللَّهُ لَكُمْ آيَاتِهِ ۗ لَعَلَّكُمْ تَهْتَدُونَ﴾ “And hold firmly together to the rope of Allah and do not be divided. Remember Allah’s favour upon you when you were enemies, then He unified your hearts, so you, by His grace, became brothers. And you were at the brink of a fiery pit and He saved you from it. This is how Allah makes His revelations clear to you, so that you may be rightly guided.” [TMQ Surah Aal-e-Imran:103].



# The Sudanese Regime Imposes Taxes on Displaced People with No Regard for Their Circumstances!



The Prime Minister Kamil Idris directed not to add any fees or new taxes at the crossings, and he also directed the governmental entities, represented in the Ministry of Finance, Customs, Taxes, the States, and the Crossings Administration, to put the directive into immediate implementation. This directive came from the Prime Minister on the background of the Arqin crossing crisis, where tax increases reached up to 1,350,000 pounds on one bus!! Which made the bus drivers enter into a strike, that led to the accumulation of hundreds of vehicles, and the disruption of the travel of the displaced returning from Egypt to Sudan.

In response, the Official Spokesperson of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Sudan, Ustadh Ibrahim Osman (Abu Khalil), said in a press release: And the question that must be directed to the Prime

Minister and his government is, why originally are taxes and fees imposed on the crossings so that the Prime Minister directs not to add new fees or taxes? And what is the Shariah ruling regarding taking this money, whether it is from the vehicles or from their passengers?!

Indeed, Islam has determined Shariah rulings by which the state takes money from its subjects, and its spending entities, but the tax system applied in Sudan is based on the Western capitalist basis.

As for in Islam, it is not permitted for the state to take money from anyone except with his willing consent, the Prophet ﷺ said, « فَإِنَّ دِمَاءَكُمْ وَأَمْوَالَكُمْ وَأَعْرَاضَكُمْ عَلَيْكُمْ حَرَامٌ، كَحُرْمَةِ يَوْمِكُمْ هَذَا، فِي بَلَدِكُمْ هَذَا، «**Indeed, your blood, your wealth, and your honor are sacred upon you like the sanctity of this day of yours, in this land of yours, in this month of yours.**»

Then indeed imposing taxes and fees on vehicle owners leads naturally to increasing ticket prices that the person pays; meaning that all indirect taxes that are imposed on goods and services lead to raising their prices, and this is forbidden in Shariah, for the saying of the Prophet ﷺ, «**مَنْ دَخَلَ فِي شَيْءٍ مِنْ أَسْعَارِ الْمُسْلِمِينَ، لِيُعْلِيَهُ عَلَيْهِمْ كَانَ حَقًّا عَلَى اللَّهِ أَنْ يُقْعِدَهُ بِعُظْمٍ مِنَ النَّارِ يَوْمَ الْقِيَامَةِ**» **Whoever enters into anything of the prices of the Muslims to make it expensive upon them, it is a right upon Allah that He seats him with a bone from the Fire on the Day of Resurrection.**»

## The Jewish Entity is Nothing but a Lowly Mouse Hiding in America's Pocket



The Ummah has grown weary of the betrayal of its armies in the face of the arrogance of this contemptible entity. It is nothing but a cowardly mouse hiding in America's pocket, peeking out only to steal a morsel from the plate of the Islamic Ummah. When confronted, it hides, and its cries grow louder.

It does not befit an Ummah whose sons are named Hamza, Umar, Ali, Khalid, and Ubaidah, and who were taught the campaigns of Salahuddin, Muhammad al-Fatih, and Qutuz, who confronted the greatest empires and reduced them to dust, to remain silent in the face of such insults.

Indeed, public opinion in the Ummah calls upon the armies every day, saying: Where are you? Where are you while your eyes see and your ears hear? Enough negligence over blood, land, and wealth.

This is a case of arrogance, pride, and corruption, in fulfillment of the words of Allah ﷻ ﴿وَقَضَيْنَا إِلَىٰ بَنِي إِسْرَائِيلَ فِي الْكِتَابِ لُتْفُسِدَنَّ فِي الْأَرْضِ ۖ﴾ **“And We decreed for the Banu Israeel in the Scripture: You will surely cause corruption on the earth twice, and you will surely reach a degree of great haughtiness.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Isra 4].

They take strength over defenseless prisoners who have no power, in violation even of international norms, ﴿ذَلِكَ بِأَنَّهُمْ قَالُوا﴾ **“This is because they say, “We are not accountable for exploiting the Gentiles.” And so they attribute lies to Allah knowingly”** [TMQ Surah Ali Imran 75].

The corruption and mischief to which the Jewish entity has reached is no longer hidden from anyone. Even the peoples of the world, among the non-Muslims, have realized it and witnessed it with their own eyes. This will leave their entity without support or protector when the next confrontation with the Ummah comes, soon, by the will of Allah ﷻ.

So, hasten, O sincere ones in the armies of the Ummah, to restore the authority of the Ummah so that it may move under the leadership of its Khlaleefah Rashid (Rightly Guided Caliph) toward the Blessed Land of Palestine, liberate it, and free the world from the evils of the Jews.

Allah ﷻ says, ﴿إِنَّ فِي هَذَا لَبَلَاغًا لِّقَوْمٍ عَابِدِينَ﴾ **“Surely this Quran is sufficient as a reminder for those devoted to worship.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Anbya 106].

# Only the Islamic System Can Free Humanity from the Greed of Capitalism



The Islamic ideology (mabda) is a divine ideology that prevents anything from depriving a person of their humanity. It guarantees life under the commands of Allah ﷻ, achieving justice, light, and well-being, and safeguarding human rights from the right to live to the right not to be spied upon, among many others.

The Islamic system is the only one capable of freeing humanity from the greed and immorality of capitalism. That is why there is a fierce attack on the lands of the Muslims and on the carriers of the call and those striving to restore Islamic life. The disbelievers know that the moment this state emerges and its establishment is declared, the countdown to their destruction by its hands begins, a destruction from which there is no return.

That is why we see them constantly trying to mislead the direction whenever the peoples grow weary and begin to move;

but praise be to Allah ﷻ, there is a party that carries the decisive causes of the Ummah, the most important of which is the establishment of the Second Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) upon the Method of the Prophethood, as the Messenger of Allah ﷺ gave glad tidings of.

Hizb ut Tahrir, with its deep understanding since its founding by the esteemed Al-Azhari Mujtahid Mutlaq, Sheikh Taqiuddin An-Nabhani, may Allah have mercy on him, and his followers to this day, has prepared a complete and integrated project for the Ummah based on the Noble Quran and Prophetic Sunnah. It has trained men and women of the highest caliber, who will assist the Ummah in reaching safety, resuming the Islamic way of life, spreading the light and justice of Islam, and moving people from worshipping other humans to worshipping the Lord of all humanity, Allah ﷻ, and from the oppression and injustice of capitalism to the justice and light of Islam.

# America's Dilemma in the War against Iran, and the Shameful Stances of the States of Disgrace

By: Ustaadh Ahmad Al-Qasas \*



When the United States and the Jewish entity launched their second war on Iran, at the end of last February, America's objective was to subdue the regime through a short-duration conflict, transforming Iran from a state orbiting within America's sphere into a subordinate state that serves its interests and aligns with its blueprint for the Middle East, or at the very least to sever its extended arms and fingers in the region and dismantle the components of its military power that threaten America's presence there, while also posing an ever-present threat to the Jewish entity at any moment. Toppling the regime of Iran as a whole is not its objective, for it recognizes that no alternative

political force is capable of ruling the country. Consequently, the collapse of the regime in a state composed of diverse ethnic, national, and sectarian components primed for secession would lead to chaos that serves neither America's interests nor those of any major power or neighboring regional state bordering Iran.

America launched this war, targeting the regime's top tier leaders and military commanders in a widespread, sudden, and shocking manner, starting with the Supreme Leader himself. It also targeted a huge amount of defense, missile, air and naval equipment, in the hope that this shock would cause a rift in the regime and its military power, so that those remaining would rush to knock on the door of negotiations to prevent collapse. It was hoped that then America would dictate to them its conditions that would lead to its coercion and submission without collapse. However, the surprise was that the Iranian military structure proved its cohesion and ability to continue, despite all the losses it suffered in its military leadership and its military machine, and despite the annihilation of most of the symbols of the political regime. In fact, Trump's repeated boasting that he had destroyed everything, and killed everyone, to the point where he no longer knew whom to address in Iran left, the military commanders with no choice but to adopt military confrontation, driven firstly by the desire for revenge, secondly by the imperative of steadfastness, and thirdly by plans to inflict the maximum harm and damage on the enemy. Their goals were not limited to inflicting military harm and destruction only, but they added to them the economic element of twisting the arm of the American administration, by targeting vital installations in the Gulf oil states. The most dangerous and painful was the closure of the Strait of Hormuz, which led to a rise in energy prices in the entire world, including America.



Thus, America and the Jewish state have involved themselves in military and economic exhaustion, which reflects as political exhaustion for Trump, his presidential term, and his Republican Party. After realizing the impasse he was in, Trump began repeatedly talking about the Iranians' desire to negotiate, while the Iranians exposed his lie as they denied their attempt to negotiate. Whenever he was unable to subjugate them and lure them into negotiations in an attempt to get out of the impasse of the war, Trump began looking for new ways out to declare the end of the war, without appearing to be a loser. Trump has not found them yet, especially with NATO abandoning him, led by the countries of Europe. Here he is, after this impasse, resorting to making the opening of the Strait of Hormuz a primary goal of his war, so that if Trump achieves that, he will show himself as ending the war victorious. Trump's soldiers found no way to achieve this goal by occupying vital Iranian islands near the strait, and perhaps some of the Iranian coast. So, Trump began mobilizing his ground forces in the region. He is now promising the Americans and the rest of the world that this ground operation will not require more than weeks, and will not require months. Is this goal guaranteed to be achieved? If achieved, will this guarantee an end to the war and the opening of the Strait?

The answer is that the goal of invading the islands and some coastal regions is not guaranteed to be achieved, nor is it, if achieved, guaranteeing an end to the war and the opening of the Strait of Hormuz. A ground war might open the door to hell for American soldiers, as they would be exposed to Iranian fire at close range, whether during the attempted invasion or after its completion. The potential success of the ground invasion will not guarantee the opening of the strait, because Iran will remain able to target any ship that it does not wish to cross the strait, as its

ability is not conditional on its presence at sea, on the islands, or even on the nearby coastal regions. It will be better able to target American soldiers on its islands and territories. Indeed, it will remain able to continue sending its missiles and drones to the targets it is now bombing in the Gulf states, and the occupation of Palestine. The bottom line is that the ways Trump is resorting to now may be nothing but an additional impasse, increasing his military, economic, and political predicament.

The most shameful element in the spectacle of this war is the stance of the states surrounding both Iran and Palestine. The very sin, or rather the betrayal, perpetrated by the Tehran regime when it failed to synchronize with Operation Al-Aqsa Flood, and instead disavowed it at the moment the occupying Jewish entity trembled, choosing to abandon and betray Gaza as well as its ally in Lebanon for two full years, under the slogan of “strategic patience,” is the identical betrayal now being committed by the states of the region, from Pakistan to Turkey, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Jordan, and Syria... and the list goes on. Every one of them has before its eyes the historic opportunity represented by the predicament now engulfing America and the Jewish entity. Here are the two of them unable to defeat a single state whose military power does not even come close to that of Pakistan or Turkey. If these rulers possessed even a trace of Deen and chivalry, or even a modicum of cunning based on selfish opportunism, they would have recognized it as the golden chance to join the roster of heroes, at the lowest possible cost. However, the truth is that they are cowards who do not deserve this honor, and they know that they are too puny to bear this honor, because they ascended their thrones to be assistants to the Pharaoh of the era, and to be members of the dirtiest international family that history has ever witnessed.

Commentators will immediately comment on this, saying that this is war on Iran, which has always betrayed the people of the region, so why are the Muslims to fight alongside Iran? The answer, stated with complete clarity and without sparing anyone's feelings, is that this is the viewpoint of someone who cannot see beyond the tip of his nose. Fighting the Jewish entity to liberate the Blessed Land was a Shariah obligation decades before this war. It was a Shariah obligation seventy-eight years ago, that is, since the Jews occupied most of Palestine. Moreover, this war is not America's war against Iran alone. Instead, this war is a stage within a giant American campaign against the entire region, to change its face and subjugate it in an unprecedented way. Just as the rulers of Iran today must regret their treacherous "strategic patience" towards the slaughtered Gaza, these cowards will regret their stance when their turn comes, and then the Arab proverb warning, "I was devoured the day the white bull was devoured" will be of no use to them!

**\* Member of the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir**

# Trump Says that Türkiye is Fantastic, and Erdoğan is a Great Leader

By: Ustadh Asaad Mansour

US President Trump praised Türkiye and its president during the Future Investment Initiative conference in Miami on March 29, 2026, after reviewing other countries' stances on the US and Jewish aggression against Iran. "I think Türkiye was fantastic. Actually, fantastic. And they stayed out of things that we asked them to. And he's a great leader," Trump said, referring to President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan.

Trump's lavish praise of Erdoğan and his description of him as a great leader have a meaning that is obvious to any reasonable person. He had previously praised him, saying that he loves Erdoğan and Erdoğan loves him. This is a testimony from Trump, the head of disbelief, the enemy of Allah ﷻ, His Messenger ﷺ, and the believers, regarding Erdoğan's loyalty to America and the significant services he has provided to it. All of this unequivocally demonstrates the importance of the services that Erdoğan's Türkiye has provided to this criminal enemy for the past 24 years.

Trump, whose goal is encapsulated in the slogans "America First" and "Make America Great Again," praises Erdoğan and his cronies in government as "fantastic" and "wonderful" because they serve his purpose. They have kept Türkiye out of the fray, meaning they have kept it out of the sphere of intervention to support Muslims and their countries against American and Jewish aggression against Iran, and previously against Gaza, Syria, Iraq, and Afghanistan.

Erdoğan allows these enemies to destroy a Muslim country, Iran, which was conquered by Muslims during the reign of the Khaleefah Rashid (Rightly Guided Caliph) Umar ibn al-Khattab (ra). The Americans are preparing to occupy it or directly dominate it, yet Erdoğan allows them to kill Muslims without intervening to support them. They have “stayed out of the things” that Trump asked them not to enter!

The same occurred during the Jewish aggression against Gaza, which was fully supported by America. America asked Türkiye and others in the region to stay out of the war and explicitly advised them, through its president and former US Secretaries of State, Biden and Blinken, not to intervene so as not to escalate the conflict. The Jewish entity has been committing genocide in Gaza for two years, and continues to do so, destroying the city. Moreover, Erdoğan and his cronies in power have not only “stayed out of the things” Trump asked them to by not supporting Gaza, they actually supported the aggression instead, by not severing ties with the Jewish entity and by continuing trade with it. They supply the Jewish entity with food and water for its murderous soldiers, raw materials for weapons manufacturing, and oil and gas from its ally, Aliyev, the ruler of Azerbaijan, to fuel its tanks and aircraft.

Now the Jewish entity has closed Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa in an attempt to seize it and divide it between Muslims and Jews, as it did with the Al-Masjid Al-Ibrahimi in Al-Khalil (Hebron). Meanwhile, settler mobs, protected by their soldiers, are attacking Muslims in the West Bank, while Erdoğan’s Türkiye refrains from intervening, following American recommendations to avoid escalating the conflict!

Erdoğan's Türkiye did not intervene when the Jewish entity, with American support, destroyed Syria's weapons, including aircraft, tanks, airfields, camps, weapons factories, and ammunition depots. The Turkish army, stationed near these locations, and within Syria, stood by and watched. Then, with American support, the Jewish entity occupied new areas, reaching the outskirts of Damascus, and declared the establishment of a safe zone in southern Syria. This was done in obedience to America, so they "stayed out of the things" that Trump told them not to enter!

Therefore, Türkiye does not intervene while the Jewish entity, with American support, attacks Lebanon, attempting to destroy the weapons and forces that could threaten it there, and then works to establish a safe zone south of the Litani River.

Just as Trump demanded of Türkiye, he also demanded of the region's countries that they not intervene to prevent the war from escalating, and they complied with him submissively. Therefore, he lavished praise on Saudi Arabia, Qatar, the UAE, Bahrain, Kuwait, and Indonesia, in addition to Türkiye, thanking them for their stances and asserting that they had provided tremendous support to America.

Indeed, Erdoğan's Türkiye and those countries that Trump praised provided astonishing support by remaining silent on the aggression of America and the Jewish entity, by not severing their relations with the Jewish entity, and by not closing the bases that Israel established on their soil and from which it launches its aggression. This allows America and its protégé, the Jewish entity, to eliminate every Muslim power, one after another, until all Muslim countries are devoid of any weapons that could threaten the Jewish entity or American influence.

These countries believe they are acting wisely with these stances and that they will escape the American and Jewish wolves, and that the cycle of violence will not turn against them if they allow others to be devoured. They know that the Jewish entity openly threatens them. Its Prime Minister, Netanyahu, declares his desire to establish a “Greater Israel” stretching from the Nile to the Euphrates, aiming to be the sole power in the region, possessing formidable weaponry, while all others must be disarmed of any potential threats.

America, through its ambassador to the Jewish entity, Huckabee, has declared its support for this idea. On February 21, 2026, he stated that he saw no obstacle to the Jewish entity seizing the entire Middle East, considering the land of the Jews to be from the Nile to the Euphrates. Huckabee said, “I’m not sure it would go that far, but it would be a big piece of land... Israel is a land that God gave, through Abraham, to a people that he chose. It was a people, a place and a purpose... It would be fine if they took it all.”

America did the same in Iraq in 2003, a country that possessed a force capable of intimidating the Jewish entity and liberating Palestine. America, with the support of Erdoğan’s Türkiye and other regional states, destroyed Iraq, its military capabilities, its weapons factories, assassinated its scientists, and plundered its resources. Türkiye and the Gulf states have since opened military bases to America.

Erdoğan’s Türkiye supported America in its aggression against Afghanistan, and both Türkiye and the Gulf states opened military bases to the US. Türkiye even participated in the aggression under the leadership of the Crusader NATO alliance, and Erdoğan boasted about this, describing Türkiye as one of the strongest

supporters of NATO. He cited as examples its support for America and the alliance in Afghanistan, Korea, and the Cuban Missile Crisis.

From this, it becomes clear to every rational person, indeed to anyone with even the slightest thinking, that Erdoğan is a huge traitor who allies himself with the disbelievers and provides remarkable and astonishing support to their leader, Trump, and his country. Erdoğan is no different from other rulers of Muslim countries who are loyal to the disbelievers. Therefore, it is imperative not to follow him or justify his actions, but instead to denounce him and all those who are loyal to the disbelievers.

It is also clear to every Muslim who is zealous for his Deen, his lands, and his Ummah, and who hopes to meet Allah ﷻ on the Day of Judgment in good stead, that it is a Shariah obligation to work towards overthrowing these rulers and their regimes, uprooting them completely, as they are founded on principles that contradict Islam, and on constitutions and laws imported from the disbelieving West.

Every aware and sincere Muslim is certain that there is no path to liberation (tahrir) and deliverance from these dire circumstances and the hegemony of America and the Jewish entity over the region except through the establishment of the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood and the unification of Muslim lands under its Rayah (banner). Therefore, it is a Shariah obligation upon the sons and daughters of the Ummah to work with their brothers and sisters in Hizb ut Tahrir to establish it or to support them, and this is the least of Iman.



## When the Unifying State is Absent Even Immense Energies Become Scattered



An analysis of the period after 1924 reveals that the Muslim lands have not emerged from a cycle of successive crises: direct occupations, economic subservience, domestic disputes, border conflicts, political pressures, and the continuous reshaping of the region according to the interests of the major powers. All of this occurs in the absence of a single political authority capable of unifying these energies and directing them within a unified project.

This does not mean that history is simply reproduced as it was, or that a return requires repeating the form without reflection. Instead, it means that the question of political unity is not an intellectual luxury, but a matter directly related to the Ummah's ability to protect itself, manage its wealth, formulate its foreign policies, and defend its major causes. When the unifying

instrument is absent, energies remain scattered, even if they are immense.

The year 1924 was not merely the end of a phase, but the beginning of a new stage of political division and fragmentation. The question that presents itself today, after one hundred and five years, is this: Will the Ummah remain captive to this division as if it were an eternal fate, or will the idea of the Ummah's unity as a Shariah ruling that is obligatory, and a practical necessity, resurface and assert itself as the fundamental solution to a prolonged crisis?

History does not move randomly. The abolition of the Khilafah (Caliphate) did not only change the form of ruling governance; it altered the shape of the world around us. Reflecting on that moment is not nostalgia for the past, but a search for a key to understanding a troubled present and envisioning a future in which the Ummah regains its ability to become a single, unified Ummah bound by the Aqeedah (creed) of Islam not merely by a shared sentiment.

# Come Forth, O Muslims, for This is Hizb ut Tahrir Calling You to the Ship of Salvation

O Muslims: Your glory, the pride of your forefathers, the achievements of your ancestors, and your history rich with priceless treasures, all of these call upon you to restore your honor, to leave behind the forces of division, to liberate yourselves and your lands, and to save your brothers and sisters from slaughter and the desecration of their blood and wealth.

America and the Jews have violated every law and every human code, practicing nothing but the law of the beasts. Only you remain, the ones whose eternal system elevated human rights and implemented them over thirteen centuries ago. Do not pay heed to the mockers, while above your heads hangs the sword of America, drawn, capturing all eyes.

Come forth, for this is Hizb ut Tahrir, the pioneering guide that does not lie to its people, calling you to the ship of salvation, to return and reclaim your honor and glorious heritage, to sharpen your resolve, to race along the path to greatness and dignity, and to spread it on the wings of breezes.

Let your slogan be: Welcome, for history repeats itself and calls us to what we were raised upon, to the events we have known and the stances we have taken, so that sons and grandsons may write the pages of glory with their own hands, just as their fathers and ancestors did. It presents us with the opportunity for this Ummah to advance, to raise the banner of glory on the highest peak of striving in life and the outcome belongs to those who fear Allah ﷻ.