بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

Series of Questions Addressed to Eminent Scholar Ata Bin Khalil Abu Al-Rashtah, Ameer of Hizb ut Tahrir through his Facebook Fighi Page

Answer to Question

There are No Additions in the Qur'an Without Meaning

To: Dr Musab Al-Faroukh (Translated)

Question:

Assalam Alaikum Wa Rahmatullah

To what extent is this saying true?

A discussion took place between one of the scholars of grammar/syntax and one of the scholars of rhetoric – who was Ibn Al-Atheer- about the letter (An) in the saying of Allah (swt) ﴿فَأَصْبَحَ فِي ٱلْمَدِينَةِ خُ آنِفاً يَتْرَقَّبُ فَإِذَا ٱلَّذِي ٱسْتَنْصَرَهُ بِٱلْأَمْسِ يَسْتَصْرِخُهُ قَالَ لَهُ مُوسَى إِنَّكَ لَعَوى مُّبِينٌ * فَلَمًا أَنْ أَرَادَ أَنْ يَبْطِشَ بِالَّذِي هُوَ عَدُقٌ لَهُمَا قَالَ يَا Ā́nd he became inside the city fearful and anticipating" مُوسَىٰ آثُرِيدُ أَنْ تُقْتَلْنِي كُمَا ۖ قَتَلْتَ نَفْسا ۚ بالأَمْسِ ﴾ [exposure], when suddenly the one who sought his help the previous day cried out to him [once again]. Moses said to him, "Indeed, you are an evident, [persistent] deviator * And when he wanted to strike the one who was an enemy to both of them, he said, "O Moses, do you intend to kill me as you killed someone yesterday? You only want to be a tyrant in the land and do not want to be of the amenders." [Al-Qasas: 18-19]. The grammar/syntax scholar said that the meaning would فلمّا أراد أن يبطش the verse is extra, and if it was deleted to become: فلمّا أراد أن يبطش be the same...have you not seen the saying of Allah (swt): ﴿فَلَمَّا أَنْ جَاءَ الْبَشِيلُ ٱلْقَاهُ عَلَى وَجُههِ﴾ "And when the bearer of good tidings arrived, he cast it over his face" [Yusuf: 96]. Grammar/syntax scholars agreed that (An) mentioned after 'lamma' and before the verb is extra. So how did Ibn Al-Atheer answer? Ibn Al-Atheer responded to the grammar scholar by and said: the grammar scholars have no say in the issues of articulation eloquence, and they have no knowledge of its secrets, in terms of being scholars of grammar. There is no doubt that they have found that (An) is mentioned after 'lamma' and before the verb in the Noble Qur'an, and in the speech of the articulate, so they thought that the meaning of its presence is like the meaning without it. Therefore, they said: this is extra. However, the issue is not like so. If 'lamma' is mentioned and (An) followed it then the verb, this is an indication that Musa (as) was not rushing to kill the second man as he was in killing the first man. Rather, he was slower to attack. This is why the Qur'an expressed this in the verse: ﴿فُلْمًا أَنْ أَرَادَ أَنْ "And when he wanted to strike the one" with an extra (An) after 'lamma'. If the verb comes after 'lamma' by removing (An), this would be the indication that the action was immediate. Ibn Al-Atheer concluded his discussion by saying: these are details that are not taken from the grammar scholars, because it is not their concern.

So, is the saying of Ibn Al-Atheer accurate? And if not, is there addition words in the Qur'an?

Answer:

Wa Alaikum Assalam Wa Rahmatullah Wa Barakatuh.

It seems that there is a missing matter in the discussion between Ibn Al-Atheer and the person he was discussing with. I see the issue as follows:

There are two matters in the noble verse that must be understood, they are: ﴿فَلَمَّا أَنْ أَرَادَ أَنْ يَبْطِشُ (أَن And when he wanted to strike the one who was an enemy to both of them", بِالَّذِي هُوَ عَدُقٌ لَهُمَا﴾ (أَن and (فَامَا أَن):

1- As for the first one, which is the accusative letter "An" that came before the present tense, it is an infinitive, accusative and reception letter:

 above, the meaning of ﴿ اَرَادَ أَنْ يَبْطِشَ بِالَّذِي هُوَ عَدُوٌ لَهُمَا﴾ "He wanted to oppress the one who is their enemy" is that it is likely that Moses (as) did not take the initiative to attack him immediately, rather he began to think because the text is ﴿ أَرَادَ أَنْ يَبْطِشُنَ﴾ and it is not (أراد يبطش) (He wanted to strike); otherwise, it would not have taken the adverb and reception, i.e., the immediate action or the thought to make the decision, and then it would have needed an indication (Qareena) to give preference to the action, i.e., the adverb or the reception, and as for ﴿ أَرَادَ أَنْ يَبْطِشُ "He wanted to strike" it is for the reception without the adverb (Al-Hal), i.e., after a period of time for some thinking, even if it was little.

- 2- As for using (An) after *'lamma'*, it means the addition in the language, because In terms of linguistic formulation is like "الما أن أراد". But it is an addition to a meaning, which is the confirmation of the slow attack, i.e., it confirms what follows, "أن يبطش" i.e., it affirms the lack of immediate killing, but rather of thinking and delay even if it is not long.
- 4- As for whether there are extra letters in the Noble Qur'an; if what is meant is extra letters without meaning, then I do not see that. There are no extra letters in the Qur'an without meaning, and I mentioned it in my book, Al-Taysir fi Usool Al-Tafsir Surat Al-Baqarah, where it came in the interpretation of the noble verse: وَفَاتُوا بِسُونَ وَ مِنْ مِثْلُهِ "So they came with a surah similar to it." [Al-Baqara: 23]. It came in it: "There is no repetition or addition in the Qur'an without a meaning. Therefore, everything that is mentioned in the Qur'an as if it is a repetition or an addition is in fact to add to the meaning, such as 'min'; here, it has indicated an increase in the meaning, which is the affirmation, i.e., the affirmation of the previous challenge.)

This is how I understand that there is no addition in the Qur'an without meaning, and Allah is All-Knowing and Most Wise.

Your Brother, Ata Bin Khalil Abu Al-Rashtah 4 Rabi' Al-Awal 1444 AH 30/9/2022 CE

The link to the answer from the Ameer's Facebook page:

https://www.facebook.com/HT.AtaabuAlrashtah/posts/650218329998873

Hizb ut Tahrir Official Website | The Central Media Office Website | Ar-Rayah Newspaper Website | HTMEDIA Website | Khilafah Website | www.hizb-ut-tahrir.org | www.hizb-ut-tahrir.info | www.alraiah.net | www.htmedia.info | www.khilafah.com